arXiv:1702.00549v1 [math.CO] 2 Feb 2017

Enumeration of complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes

Anuradha Sharma*†[1] and Taranjot Kaur ‡[2]

[1]Center for Applied Mathematics, IIIT Delhi, India
[2]Department of Mathematics, IIT Delhi, India

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field of order q, n be a positive integer coprime to q and $t \geq 2$ be an integer. In this paper, we enumerate all the complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n by placing $*$, ordinary and Hermitian trace bilinear forms on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$.

Keywords: Sesquilinear forms; Witt decomposition; Formed spaces.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 94B15

1 Introduction

Cyclic codes form an important class of linear codes having a rich algebraic structure. Their algebraic properties enable one to effectively detect or correct errors using linear shift registers. Self-dual, self-orthogonal and complementary-dual codes constitute three important classes of cyclic codes, which have been studied and enumerated by Conway et al. [2], Huffman [10]-[11], Jia et al. [12] and Pless and Sloane [15]. Linear codes are further generalized to additive codes, which have recently attracted a lot of attention due to their connection with quantum error-correcting codes.

Calderbank et al. [1] introduced and studied additive codes of length n over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_4$. They further studied their dual codes with respect to the trace inner product on $\mathbb{F}_4^n$. In the same work, they related the problem of finding quantum error-correcting codes with that of finding self-orthogonal additive codes over $\mathbb{F}_4$. Huffman [7] and [8] provided a canonical form decomposition of cyclic additive codes over $\mathbb{F}_4$. Using this decomposition, he further studied and enumerated these codes. Besides this, he obtained the number of self-orthogonal and self-dual cyclic additive codes of length n over $\mathbb{F}_4$ with respect to the trace inner product on $\mathbb{F}_4^n$. In order to further explore the properties of cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes, Huffman [9] generalized the theory developed in [7] and viewed cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n as $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/\langle X^n-1\rangle$-submodules of the quotient ring $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}[X]/\langle X^n-1\rangle$, where $\gcd(n,q)=1$ and $t \geq 2$ is an integer. He also determined the number of cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n and studied their dual codes with respect to the ordinary and Hermitian trace bilinear forms on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$. In addition to this, he determined bases of all the self-orthogonal and self-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-codes with respect to these two bilinear forms on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. Furthermore, for any integer $t \geq 2$, he enumerated all the self-dual and self-orthogonal cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n with respect to these two bilinear forms. In a recent work [16], we introduced and

*anuradha@iiitd.ac.in
†Research support by DST India, under the grant SERB/F/3551/2012-13, is gratefully acknowledged.
‡Research support by NBHM, India, is gratefully acknowledged.

studied a new trace bilinear form, denoted by $*$, on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$ for any integer $t \geq 2$ satisfying $t \not\equiv 1 (\text{mod } p)$, where p is the characteristic of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. We also observed that the $*$ bilinear form on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$ coincides with the trace inner product considered by Calderbank et al. [1] when $q = t = 2$ and Hermitian trace inner product considered by Ezerman et al. [5] when q is even and $t = 2$, which are well-studied inner products in coding theory. Further, by placing the bilinear form $*$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$, we enumerated all the self-orthogonal and self-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n, provided $\gcd(n, q) = 1$. Besides this, we explicitly determined bases of all the complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-codes of length n with respect to $*$, ordinary and Hermitian trace bilinear forms on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ and enumerated these three classes of codes, where $\gcd(n, q) = 1$.

The main goal of this paper is to enumerate all the complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n with respect to $*$, ordinary and Hermitian trace bilinear forms on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$, where q is a prime power, n is a positive integer coprime to q and $t \geq 2$ is an integer. Although our enumeration technique is based upon the theory of cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes developed by Huffman [7], it is quite different from the technique employed by Huffman [7] in the enumeration of self-orthogonal and self-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we state some preliminaries that are needed to derive our main result. In Section 3, we determine the number of complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n with respect to $*$, ordinary and Hermitian trace bilinear forms on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$, where q is a prime power, n is a positive integer with $\gcd(n, q) = 1$ and $t \geq 2$ is an integer (Theorem 3.1).

2 Some preliminaries

In this section, we will state some basic definitions and results that are needed to derive our main result.

Throughout this paper, let q be a power of the prime p, $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements, n be a positive integer coprime to p and $t \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q)}$ and $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$ denote the quotient rings $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/\langle X^n - 1\rangle$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}[X]/\langle X^n - 1\rangle$ respectively, where X is an indeterminate over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and over any extension field of $\mathbb{F}_p$. As $\gcd(n, q) = 1$, by Maschke's Theorem, both the rings $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q)}$ and $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$ are semi-simple, and hence can be written as direct sums of minimal ideals. More explicitly, if $\{\ell_0 = 0, \ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{s-1}\}$ is a complete set of representatives of q-cyclotomic cosets modulo n, then $X^n - 1 = f_0(X)f_1(X)\cdots f_{s-1}(X)$ is the factorization of $X^n - 1$ into monic irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $f_i(X) = \prod_{k \in C_{\ell_i}^{(q)}} (X - \eta^k)$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$, where $C_{\ell_i}^{(q)}$ $(0 \leq i \leq s-1)$ is the q-cyclotomic coset modulo n containing the integer ℓ_i and η is a primitive nth root of unity over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Therefore for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$, if $\mathcal{K}_i$ is the (minimal) ideal of $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q)}$ generated by the polynomial $(X^n - 1)/f_i(X)$, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q)} = \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{K}_{s-1}$, where $\mathcal{K}_i\mathcal{K}_j = \{0\}$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\mathcal{K}_i \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i}}$ with d_i as the cardinality of $C_{\ell_i}^{(q)}$. Next to write $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$ as a direct sum of minimal ideals, we further factorize the polynomials $f_0(X), f_1(X), \cdots, f_{s-1}(X)$ into monic irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. To do this, by Lemma 1 of Huffman [9], we see that for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$, $C_{\ell_i}^{(q)} = C_{\ell_i}^{(q^t)} \cup C_{\ell_i q}^{(q^t)} \cup \cdots \cup C_{\ell_i q^{g_i - 1}}^{(q^t)}$ with $g_i = \gcd(d_i, t)$, which led to the following factorization of $f_i(X)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$: $f_i(X) = M_{i,0}(X)M_{i,1}(X)\cdots M_{i,g_i-1}(X)$, where $M_{i,j}(X) = \prod_{k \in C_{\ell_i q^j}^{(q^t)}} (X - \eta^k)$ for $0 \leq j \leq g_i - 1$. Therefore, if for each i and j, $\mathcal{I}_{i,j}$ is the (minimal) ideal of $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$ generated by $(X^n - 1)/M_{i,j}(X)$, then one can show that $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{g_i-1} \mathcal{I}_{i,j}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{i,j}\mathcal{I}_{i',j'} = \{0\}$ for all $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$ and $\mathcal{I}_{i,j} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^{tD_i}}$ with $D_i = \frac{d_i}{g_i}$. Further, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{I}_{i,0} \oplus \mathcal{I}_{i,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{I}_{i,g_i-1}$ is a vector space of dimension t over $\mathcal{K}_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$.

In order to study the containment $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q)} \subset \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$, Huffman [9] defined ring automorphisms $\tau_{q^u,v} : \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^r)} \to$

$\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^r)}$ as $\tau_{q^u,v}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i X^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i^{q^u} X^{vi}$ for any integer $r \geq 1$, where u, v are integers satisfying $0 \leq u \leq r$, $1 \leq v \leq n$ and $\gcd(v, n) = 1$. When $r = t$, by Lemma 2 of Huffman [9], we see that $\tau_{q^u,v}$ permutes minimal ideals $\mathcal{I}_{i,j}$'s of the ring $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$. It is easy to see that for every i $(1 \leq i \leq s-1)$, there exists a unique integer i' $(1 \leq i' \leq s-1)$ satisfying $C_{-\ell_i}^{(q)} = C_{\ell_{i'}}^{(q)}$. This gives rise to a permutation μ of the set $\{0, 1, 2, \cdots, s-1\}$, defined by $C_{-\ell_i}^{(q)} = C_{\ell_{\mu(i)}}^{(q)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$. Note that $\mu(0) = 0$ and $\mu(\mu(i)) = i$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$. That is, μ is either the identity permutation or is a product of transpositions. Note that when n is even, there exists an integer $i^{\#}$ satisfying $0 \leq i^{\#} \leq s-1$ and $C_{\ell_{i^{\#}}}^{(q)} = C_{\frac{n}{2}}^{(q)} = \{\frac{n}{2}\}$, as q is odd. From this, we see that $C_{-\ell_{i^{\#}}}^{(q)} = C_{\ell_{i^{\#}}}^{(q)}$, which implies that $\mu(i^{\#}) = i^{\#}$.

Now an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-code $\mathcal{C}$ of length n is defined as an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$. Further, the code $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be cyclic if $(c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ implies that $(c_{n-1}, c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_{n-2}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Throughout this paper, we shall identify each vector $a = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$ with the representative $a(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i X^i$ of the coset $a(X) + \langle X^n - 1 \rangle \in \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$, and perform addition and multiplication of its representatives modulo $X^n - 1$. Under this identification, one can easily observe that the cyclic shift $\sigma(a) = (a_{n-1}, a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-2})$ of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$ is identified with $Xa(X) \in \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$. In view of this, every cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-code of length n can be viewed as an $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$. Huffman [9] studied dual codes of cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n with respect to ordinary and Hermitian trace bilinear forms on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$, which are defined as follows:

Let $\mathrm{Tr}_{q,t} : \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \to \mathbb{F}_q$ be the trace map defined as $\mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{q^j}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. It is well-known that $\mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear, surjective map with kernel of size q^{t-1} (see [14, Th. 2.23]). Then for any integer $t \geq 2$, the ordinary trace inner product on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$ is a map $(\cdot,\cdot)_0 : \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n \times \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n \to \mathbb{F}_q$, defined as $(a, b)_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(a_j b_j)$ for all $a = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})$, $b = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$, and the map $[\cdot,\cdot]_0 : \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)} \times \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)} \to \mathcal{R}_n^{(q)}$ is defined as $[a(X), b(X)]_0 = \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} \tau_{q^w,1}\left(a(X)\tau_{1,-1}(b(X))\right)$ for all $a(X)$, $b(X) \in \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$. The Hermitian trace inner product on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$ is defined only for even integers $t \geq 2$, which can be written as $t = 2^a m$, where $a \geq 1$ and m is odd. It is easy to see that there exists an element $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2^a}}$ satisfying $\gamma + \gamma^{q^{2^{a-1}}} = 0$. Then the Hermitian trace inner product on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$ is a map $(\cdot,\cdot)_\gamma : \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n \times \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n \to \mathbb{F}_q$, defined as $(a, b)_\gamma = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(\gamma a_j b_j^{q^{t/2}})$ for all $a = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})$, $b = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$, and the map $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma : \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)} \times \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)} \to \mathcal{R}_n^{(q)}$ is defined as $[a(X), b(X)]_\gamma = \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} \tau_{q^w,1}\left(\gamma a(X)\tau_{q^{t/2},-1}(b(X))\right)$ for all $a(X)$, $b(X) \in \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$. By Lemma 6 of Huffman [9], we see that $[\cdot,\cdot]_0$ is a non-degenerate, reflexive and Hermitian $\tau_{1,-1}$-sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$, while $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma$ is a non-degenerate, reflexive and skew-Hermitian $\tau_{1,-1}$-sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$. Sharma and Kaur [16] studied dual codes of cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes with respect to the bilinear form $*$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$, which is defined only for integers $t \geq 2$ satisfying $t \not\equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\ p)$. In order to define the form $*$, we see that for any integer $t \geq 2$ satisfying $t \not\equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\ p)$, the map $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \to \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, defined as $\phi(\alpha) = \alpha^q + \alpha^{q^2} + \cdots + \alpha^{q^{t-1}}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear vector space automorphism. Now the $*$-bilinear form on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$ is a map $(\cdot,\cdot)_* : \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n \times \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n \to \mathbb{F}_q$, defined as $(a, b)_* = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(a_j \phi(b_j))$ for all $a = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})$, $b = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1})$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n$, and the map $[\cdot,\cdot]_* : \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)} \times \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)} \to \mathcal{R}_n^{(q)}$ is defined as $[a(X), b(X)]_* = \sum_{u=0}^{t-1} \tau_{q^u,1}\left(a(X) \sum_{w=1}^{t-1} \tau_{q^w,-1}\big(b(X)\big)\right)$ for all $a(X)$, $b(X) \in \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$. By Lemma 3.3 of Sharma and Kaur [16], we see that $[\cdot,\cdot]_*$ is a non-degenerate, reflexive and Hermitian $\tau_{1,-1}$-sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$.

Next for $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{T}_\delta$ be defined as (i) the set of all integers $t \geq 2$ satisfying $t \not\equiv 1 (\text{mod } p)$ when $\delta = *$, (ii) the set of all integers $t \geq 2$ when $\delta = 0$, and (iii) the set of all even integers $t \geq 2$ when $\delta = \gamma$. Now for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$ with $t \in \mathbb{T}_\delta$, the δ-dual code of $\mathcal{C}$ is defined as $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta} = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n : (v, c)_\delta = 0 \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C}\}$. It is easy to see that the dual code $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta}$ is also an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-code of length n. We also note that if the code $\mathcal{C}$ is cyclic, then its dual code $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta}$ is also cyclic. In fact, if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$ is any cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-code, then one can easily view its dual code $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$ as the dual code of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to the form $[\cdot, \cdot]_\delta$ on $\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$. Further, by Theorem 4 of Huffman [9], we see that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{s-1}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta} = \mathcal{C}_0^{(\delta)} \oplus \mathcal{C}_1^{(\delta)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{s-1}^{(\delta)}$, where $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{J}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta} \cap \mathcal{J}_i$ are $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$. In addition, by Theorem 7 of Huffman [9] and Theorem 4.1 of Sharma and Kaur [16], we have $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(i)}^{(\delta)} = \{a(X) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mu(i)} : [a(X), c(X)]_\delta = 0 \text{ for all } c(X) \in \mathcal{C}_i\}$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(i)}} \mathcal{C}_{\mu(i)}^{(\delta)} = t - \dim_{\mathcal{K}_i} \mathcal{C}_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$, (throughout this paper, $\dim_F V$ denotes the dimension of a finite-dimensional vector space V over the field F). Now for $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, the code $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be δ-complementary-dual if it satisfies $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta} = \{0\}$. For more details, one may refer to Huffman [9] and Sharma and Kaur [16].

Henceforth, we shall follow the same notations and terminology as in Section 2.

3 Determination of the number of δ-complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes

Throughout this paper, let n be a positive integer, q be a power of the prime p with $\gcd(n, q) = 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}_\delta$ for $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$. In this section, we shall count all the δ-complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$. For this, we first recall that the permutation μ of the set $\{0, 1, 2, \cdots, s-1\}$ is either the identity or is a product of transpositions, and it satisfies $\mu(0) = 0$ and $\mu(i^\#) = i^\#$ (provided n is even). Let $\mathfrak{I} = \{0\}$ if n is odd and $\mathfrak{I} = \{0, i^\#\}$ if n is even. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ denote the set consisting of all the fixed points of μ excluding the points of $\mathfrak{I}$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ denote the set consisting of exactly one element from each of the transpositions in μ. Throughout this paper, we shall denote the q-binomial coefficient or Gaussian binomial coefficient by $\begin{bmatrix} \cdot \end{bmatrix}_q$, which is defined as $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q = \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \left(\frac{q^a - q^i}{q^b - q^i} \right)$, where a, b are integers satisfying $1 \leq b \leq a$. From now onwards, we shall denote the number of isotropic vectors and hyperbolic pairs in a reflexive and non-degenerate formed space of dimension n and Witt index m by $I_{m,n-2m}$ and $H_{m,n-2m}$, respectively.

In the following theorem, we enumerate all the δ-complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let q be a power of the prime p and n be a positive integer with $\gcd(n, q) = 1$. Then for $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$ with $t \in \mathbb{T}_\delta$, the number N of distinct δ-complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n is given by*

$$N = \mathfrak{R}^{\gcd(n,2)} \prod_{i \in \mathfrak{F}} \left\{ 2 + \sum_{r=1}^{t-1} q^{\frac{r(t-r)d_i}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \left(\frac{q^{(t-j)\frac{d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{t-j}}{q^{(r-j)\frac{d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{r-j}} \right) \right\} \prod_{h \in \mathfrak{M}} \left\{ 2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} q^{\ell(t-\ell)d_h} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_{q^{d_h}} \right\},$$

where $\mathfrak{R}$ equals

- $2 + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \equiv 0 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *when either $\delta = *$ and both t, q are even or $\delta = \gamma$ and t is even;*
- $2 + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 0 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} (t-1)/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 1 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{(t-k)(k+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} (t-1)/2 \\ (k-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *when $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$ and both t, q are odd;*

- $2+\sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 0(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}t/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}+\sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 1(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{tk-k^2-1}{2}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}+1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *when* $\delta\in\{*,0\}$ *with either* t *even and* $q\equiv 1(mod\ 4)$ *or* $t\equiv 0(mod\ 4)$ *and* $q\equiv 3(mod\ 4)$.
- $2+\sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 0(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}t/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}+\sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 1(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{tk-k^2-1}{2}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *when* $\delta\in\{*,0\}$, $t\equiv 2(mod\ 4)$ *and* $q\equiv 3(mod\ 4)$.
- $2+\sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 0(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}+\sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 1(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{(t-k)(k+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *when* $\delta=0$, q *is even and* t *is odd;*
- $2+\sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 0(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{tk-k^2-2}{2}}\Big\{(q^k+q-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}+(q^{t-k+1}-q^{t-k}+1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\Big\}+$ $\sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 1(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{tk-k^2+t-1}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *when* $\delta=0$ *and both* q,t *are even.*

To prove the above theorem, we first observe the following:

Proposition 3.1. *Let* q *be a power of the prime* p *and* n *be a positive integer coprime to* q. *Then for* $\delta\in\{*,0,\gamma\}$ *with* $t\in\mathbb{T}_\delta$, *the following hold:*

(a) Let $\mathcal{C}\subseteq\mathcal{R}_n^{(q^t)}$ *be a cyclic* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-linear* $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$*-code of length* n. *Let us write* $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_0\oplus\mathcal{C}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{C}_{s-1}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta}=\mathcal{C}_0^{(\delta)}\oplus\mathcal{C}_1^{(\delta)}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{C}_{s-1}^{(\delta)}$, *where* $\mathcal{C}_i=\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{J}_i$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)}=\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta}\cap\mathcal{J}_i$ *for* $0\le i\le s-1$. *Then the code* $\mathcal{C}$ *is* δ*-complementary-dual if and only if* $\mathcal{C}_i\cap\mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)}=\{0\}$ *for* $0\le i\le s-1$.

(b) For each $i\in\mathfrak{F}\cup\mathfrak{I}$, *let* N_i *denote the number of* $\mathcal{K}_i$*-subspaces* $\mathcal{C}_i$ *of* $\mathcal{J}_i$ *satisfying* $\mathcal{C}_i\cap\mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)}=\{0\}$. *For each* $h\in\mathfrak{M}$, *let* N_h *denote the number of pairs* $(\mathcal{C}_h,\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)})$ *with* $\mathcal{C}_h$ *as a* $\mathcal{K}_h$*-subspace of* $\mathcal{J}_h$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ *as a* $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$*-subspace of* $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ *satisfying* $\mathcal{C}_h\cap\mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)}=\{0\}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\cap\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)}=\{0\}$. *Then the total number of distinct* δ*-complementary-dual cyclic* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-linear* $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$*-codes of length* n *is given by* $N=\prod\limits_{i\in\mathfrak{F}\cup\mathfrak{I}}N_i\prod\limits_{h\in\mathfrak{M}}N_h$.

Proof. Proof is trivial. □

In view of the above proposition, we see that to enumerate all δ-complementary-dual cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes of length n for each $\delta\in\{*,0,\gamma\}$, we need to determine the following:

- For each $i\in\mathfrak{F}\cup\mathfrak{I}$, the number N_i of distinct $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_i\cap\mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)}=\{0\}$.
- For each $h\in\mathfrak{M}$, the number N_h of pairs $\big(\mathcal{C}_h,\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)$ with $\mathcal{C}_h$ as a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ as a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_h\cap\mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)}=\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\cap\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)}=\{0\}$.

First of all, we shall determine the number N_i for each $i\in\mathfrak{F}\cup\mathfrak{I}$. For this, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $i\in\mathfrak{F}\cup\mathfrak{I}$ *be fixed. For each* $\delta\in\{*,0,\gamma\}$, *let* $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta\restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i\times\mathcal{J}_i}$ *denote the restriction of the* $\tau_{1,-1}$*-sesquilinear form* $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta$ *to* $\mathcal{J}_i\times\mathcal{J}_i$. *Then the following hold.*

(a) For each $\delta\in\{*,0,\gamma\}$, *the form* $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta\restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i\times\mathcal{J}_i}$ *is reflexive and non-degenerate.*

(b) For $\delta\in\{*,0\}$, *the form* $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta\restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i\times\mathcal{J}_i}$ *is Hermitian when* $i\in\mathfrak{F}$ *and is symmetric when* $i\in\mathfrak{I}$. *For* $\delta=\gamma$, *the form* $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma\restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i\times\mathcal{J}_i}$ *is skew-Hermitian when* $i\in\mathfrak{F}$ *and is alternating when* $i\in\mathfrak{I}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 6 and 13 of Huffman [9] and Lemma 4.3 of Sharma and Kaur [16]. □

Next we make the following observation.

Remark 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-code of length n. For each $i \in \mathfrak{F} \cup \mathfrak{I}$ and $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, if $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{J}_i$, then by Lemma 3.1, the $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp_\delta} \cap \mathcal{J}_i = \{a(X) \in \mathcal{J}_i : [a(X), c(X)]_\delta = 0$ for all $c(X) \in \mathcal{C}_i\}$ can be viewed as the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{C}_i$ with respect to the restricted form $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i}$. From this, it follows that a $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfies $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}_i$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $(\mathcal{J}_i, [\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i})$. Therefore the number N_i equals the number of non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $(\mathcal{J}_i, [\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i})$ for each $i \in \mathfrak{F} \cup \mathfrak{I}$ and $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$.*

3.1 Determination of the number N_i when $i \in \mathfrak{F}$

Throughout this section, we assume that $i \in \mathfrak{F}$. Here we recall that $\mathcal{J}_i$ is a t-dimensional vector space over $\mathcal{K}_i \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i}}$. Further, by Lemma 10(i) of Huffman [9], we see that the integer d_i is even. Then in the following proposition, we determine the number N_i for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $i \in \mathfrak{F}$ be fixed. Then for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, the number N_i of distinct $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ is given by* $N_i = 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k)d_i}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{q^{\frac{(t-j)d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{t-j}}{q^{\frac{(k-j)d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{k-j}} \right)$.

Proof. To prove this, for each integer k $(0 \le k \le t)$, let $N_{i,k}$ denote the number of k-dimensional $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$. Then we have $N_i = \sum_{k=0}^{t} N_{i,k}$.

When $k = 0$, we have $\mathcal{C}_i = \{0\}$, which gives $\mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \mathcal{J}_i$ and thus $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ holds. This implies that $N_{i,0} = 1$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.1(a), we see that $(\mathcal{J}_i, [\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i})$ is a t-dimensional reflexive and non-degenerate space over $\mathcal{K}_i$. This implies that when $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{J}_i$, we have $\mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$, and thus $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ holds. This gives $N_{i,t} = 1$. So from now onwards, we assume that $1 \le k \le t-1$ and we assert that $N_{i,k} = q^{\frac{k(t-k)d_i}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{q^{\frac{(t-j)d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{t-j}}{q^{\frac{(k-j)d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{k-j}} \right)$ for $1 \le k \le t-1$. To prove this assertion, by Remark 3.1, we note that the number $N_{i,k}$ is equal to the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ for $1 \le k \le t-1$. Now we shall consider the following two cases separately: **I.** $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$ and **II.** $\delta = \gamma$.

Case I. Let $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$. Here by Lemma 3.1, we see that $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i}$ is a reflexive, non-degenerate and Hermitian form, i.e., $(\mathcal{J}_i, [\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i})$ is a unitary space over $\mathcal{K}_i$.

First let k be odd. By [18, p. 116], we see that any k-dimensional non-degenerate (and hence unitary) $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ has a Witt decomposition of the form $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X)\rangle \perp \langle w(X)\rangle$, where $\big(a_\ell(X), b_\ell(X)\big)$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$ for $1 \le \ell \le \frac{k-1}{2}$ and $w(X)$ is an anisotropic vector in $\mathcal{J}_i$. Now in order to determine the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate (and hence unitary) $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$, we shall count all Witt bases of the type $\{a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), w(X)\}$ in $\mathcal{J}_i$. For this, we note that $(a_1(X), b_1(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$, so by Corollary 10.6 of [18], it has $H_{\mathfrak{r}_1, t-2\mathfrak{r}_1}$ choices, where $\mathfrak{r}_1$ is the Witt index of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Now since $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$, working as in Proposition 2.9 of [6], we can write $\mathcal{J}_i = \langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $(t-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Next we need to choose the second hyperbolic pair $(a_2(X), b_2(X))$ from the unitary $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$. By Corollary 10.6 of [18], we see that $(a_2(X), b_2(X))$ has $H_{\mathfrak{r}_2, t-2-2\mathfrak{r}_2}$ choices, where $\mathfrak{r}_2$ is the Witt index of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Continuing like this, we see that the $(\frac{k-1}{2})$th hyperbolic pair $(a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X))$ has to be chosen from the $(t-k+3)$-dimensional unitary $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-3}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-3}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp}$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$, so by Corollary 10.6 of [18], the hyperbolic pair $(a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X))$ has $H_{\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k-1}{2}}, t-k+3-2\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k-1}{2}}}$ choices, where $\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k-1}{2}}$ is the Witt index of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-3}{2}}, b_{\frac{k-3}{2}}\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Next we need to choose an anisotropic vector $w(X)$ from the $(t-k+1)$-dimensional unitary $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}, b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$. By Lemma 10.4 of

[18], we see that $w(X)$ has $q^{(t-k+1)d_i} - 1 - I_{\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k+1}{2}},t-k+1-2\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k+1}{2}}}$ choices, where $\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k+1}{2}}$ is the Witt index of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}, b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Therefore the number of choices for a Witt basis of cardinality k in $\mathcal{J}_i$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{U}_{k,t} = H_{\mathfrak{r}_1,t-2\mathfrak{r}_1}H_{\mathfrak{r}_2,t-2-2\mathfrak{r}_2}\cdots H_{\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k-1}{2}},t-k+3-2\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k-1}{2}}}\left(q^{(t-k+1)d_i} - 1 - I_{\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k+1}{2}},t-k+1-2\mathfrak{r}_{\frac{k+1}{2}}}\right).$$

By [18, p. 116], for $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$, we note that

$$t - 2(\ell-1) - 2\mathfrak{r}_\ell = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \text{ is even;} \\ 1 & \text{if } t \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Now using Lemma 10.4 and Corollary 10.6 of [18], we see that $\mathfrak{U}_{k,t} = q^{\frac{(2t-k-1)kd_i}{4}}(q^{\frac{d_i}{2}} - 1)\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}(q^{\frac{(t-j)d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{t-j})$. Next working in a similar manner as above and using Lemma 10.4 and Corollary 10.6 of [18], we see that the number of Witt bases of a k-dimensional unitary $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ is given by $\mathfrak{U}_{k,k} = H_{\frac{k-1}{2},1}H_{\frac{k-3}{2},1}\cdots H_{1,1}(q^{d_i}-1) = q^{\frac{k(k-1)d_i}{4}}(q^{\frac{d_i}{2}}-1)\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}(q^{\frac{(k-j)d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{k-j})$. Therefore the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate (and hence unitary) $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ is given by

$$N_{i,k} = \frac{\mathfrak{U}_{k,t}}{\mathfrak{U}_{k,k}} = q^{\frac{k(t-k)d_i}{2}}\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{q^{\frac{(t-j)d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{t-j}}{q^{\frac{(k-j)d_i}{2}} - (-1)^{k-j}}\right).$$

Next let k be even. Here by [18, p. 116], we see that any k-dimensional non-degenerate (and hence unitary) $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ has a Witt decomposition of the form $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle a_{\frac{k}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k}{2}}(X)\rangle$, where $\big(a_\ell(X), b_\ell(X)\big)$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{k}{2}$. Now working in a similar manner as in the previous case, the assertion follows.

Case II. Let $\delta = \gamma$. By Lemma 3.1, we see that $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i\times\mathcal{J}_i}$ is a reflexive, non-degenerate and skew-Hermitian form. In this case, we will first transform the skew-Hermitian form $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i\times\mathcal{J}_i}$ to a Hermitian form $[\cdot,\cdot]'_\gamma$ on $\mathcal{J}_i$ as follows:

By Lemma 11(iii) of Huffman [9], we note that $\tau_{1,-1}$ is not the identity map on $\mathcal{K}_i$, so there exists $\mathfrak{u}(X) \in \mathcal{K}_i$ such that $\tau_{1,-1}\big(\mathfrak{u}(X)\big) \neq \mathfrak{u}(X)$. Then we define a map $[\cdot,\cdot]'_\gamma : \mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i \to \mathcal{K}_i$ as $[c(X), d(X)]'_\gamma = \mathfrak{v}(X)\,[c(X), d(X)]_\gamma$ for all $c(X), d(X) \in \mathcal{J}_i$, where $\mathfrak{v}(X) = \mathfrak{u}(X) - \tau_{1,-1}\big(\mathfrak{u}(X)\big) \neq 0$. It is easy to see that $[\cdot,\cdot]'_\gamma$ is a reflexive, non-degenerate and Hermitian form on $\mathcal{J}_i$. Next we observe that if two vectors in $\mathcal{J}_i$ are orthogonal with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i\times\mathcal{J}_i}$, then those two vectors are also orthogonal with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]'_\gamma$ and vice versa. This implies that any non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i\times\mathcal{J}_i}$ is also non-degenerate with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]'_\gamma$ and vice versa. So the number N_i equals the number of non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]'_\gamma$. Now as $(\mathcal{J}_i, [\cdot,\cdot]'_\gamma)$ is a unitary space over $\mathcal{K}_i$ having dimension t, working in a similar manner as in case I, the assertion follows. This proves the proposition. □

3.2 Determination of the number N_i when $i \in \mathfrak{I}$

In this section, we will determine the number N_i for each $i \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$. Here we recall that $\mathcal{K}_i \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$, as $d_i = 1$ for each $i \in \mathfrak{I}$.

In the following proposition, we suppose that either $\delta = \gamma$ or $\delta = *$ and q is even, and determine the number N_i of $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ for each $i \in \mathfrak{I}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that either $\delta = \gamma$ or $\delta = *$ and q is even. For $i \in \mathfrak{I}$, the number N_i of distinct $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ is given by $N_i = 2 + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k\equiv 0 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.*

Proof. In order to prove this, for each integer k $(0 \leq k \leq t)$, let $N_{i,k}$ denote the number of k-dimensional $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$. Then we have $N_i = \sum_{k=0}^{t} N_{i,k}$.

First we observe that $N_{i,0} = N_{i,t} = 1$. So from now onwards, throughout this proof, we assume that $1 \leq k \leq t-1$. Here we assert that for $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, the number $N_{i,k} = 0$ when k is odd and $N_{i,k} = q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ when k is even. To prove this assertion, by Remark 3.1, we note that the number $N_{i,k}$ is equal to the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ for $1 \leq k \leq t-1$. Now we shall distinguish the cases, I. $\delta = \gamma$ and II. $\delta = *$ and q is even.

Case I. Let $\delta = \gamma$. Here by Lemma 3.1, we see that $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i}$ is a reflexive, non-degenerate and alternating form, i.e., $(\mathcal{J}_i, [\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i})$ is a symplectic space over $\mathcal{K}_i$. In view of this, we see that the number $N_{i,k}$ equals the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate (and hence symplectic) $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$. By [18, p. 69], we see that $N_{i,k} = 0$ if k is odd. So we need to determine the number $N_{i,k}$ when $k \geq 2$ is an even integer. For this, by [18, p. 69], we see that when k is even, any k-dimensional non-degenerate (and hence symplectic) $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ has a Witt decomposition of the form $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle a_{\frac{k}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k}{2}}(X)\rangle$, where each $(a_\ell(X), b_\ell(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$. Further, working in a similar manner as in Proposition 3.2 and using [18, pp. 69-70], we get $N_{i,k} = q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ for each even integer k.

Case II. Let $\delta = *$ and q be even. In this case, t is even and n is odd, and hence $i = 0$ only. By Huffman [9, p. 264], we see that $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{aM(X) : a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ and $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{rM(X) : r \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$, where $M(X) = 1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{n-1}$. We next observe that $[aM(X), bM(X)]_* = n\mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}\big(a\phi(b)\big)M(X) = n(a,b)_* M(X)$ for all $aM(X), bM(X) \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Since $M(X) \neq 0$, we observe that if two vectors $aM(X), bM(X) \in \mathcal{J}_0$ are orthogonal with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_* \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_0 \times \mathcal{J}_0}$, then the corresponding vectors $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ are orthogonal with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_*$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ and vice versa. So the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_0$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_0$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_* \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_0 \times \mathcal{J}_0}$ is equal to the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_*$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Further, by Lemma 3.2 of Sharma and Kaur [16], we see that when q is even, $(\cdot,\cdot)_*$ is a reflexive, non-degenerate and alternating form on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, i.e., $(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}, (\cdot,\cdot)_*)$ is a symplectic space over $\mathbb{F}_q$ having dimension t. Now working in a similar manner as in case I, we obtain $N_{0,k} = q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ when k is even and $N_{0,k} = 0$ when k is odd. This proves the proposition. □

In the following proposition, we suppose that $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$ and q is odd, and determine the number of $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ for each $i \in \mathfrak{I}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$ and q be an odd prime power. For $i \in \mathfrak{I}$, the number N_i of distinct $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ is given by the following:*

(a) $N_i = 2 + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 0 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} (t-1)/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 1 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{(t-k)(k+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} (t-1)/2 \\ (k-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *if t is odd.*

(b) $N_i = 2 + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 0 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 1 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{tk-k^2-1}{2}} (q^{\frac{t}{2}} + 1) \begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *if either t is even and $q \equiv 1 (mod\ 4)$ or $t \equiv 0 (mod\ 4)$ and $q \equiv 3 (mod\ 4)$.*

(c) $N_i = 2 + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 0 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 1 (mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{tk-k^2-1}{2}} (q^{\frac{t}{2}} - 1) \begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *if $t \equiv 2 (mod\ 4)$ and $q \equiv 3 (mod\ 4)$.*

To prove this proposition, we see, by Lemma 3.1, that when $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$ and q is an odd prime power, $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i}$ is a reflexive, non-degenerate and symmetric form, i.e., $(\mathcal{J}_i, [\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_i \times \mathcal{J}_i})$ is an orthogonal space over $\mathcal{K}_i$. Furthermore, since q is odd, the map $Q_i : \mathcal{J}_i \to \mathcal{K}_i$, defined by $Q_i(u(X)) = \frac{1}{2}[u(X), u(X)]_\delta$ for each $u(X) \in \mathcal{J}_i$, is a quadratic map, and hence $(\mathcal{J}_i, Q_i)$ is a non-degenerate quadratic space over $\mathcal{K}_i$. Now to prove Proposition 3.4, we shall first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$, q be an odd prime power and $k \geq 1$ be an odd integer. Let U be a k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having a Witt decomposition of the form $\langle m_1(X), n_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle m_2(X), n_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle m_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), n_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X)\rangle \perp \langle r(X)\rangle$, where $(m_\ell(X), n_\ell(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in U for $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$ and $r(X)$ is a non-singular vector in U. Then the following hold.*

(a) The number L_k of non-singular vectors $u(X) \in U$ with $\langle u(X)\rangle$ isometric to $\langle r(X)\rangle$ is given by $\mathsf{L}_k = \frac{q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(q-1)(q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}+1)}{2}$.

(b) The number M_k of non-singular vectors $u(X) \in U$ with $\langle u(X)\rangle$ not isometric to $\langle r(X)\rangle$ is given by $\mathsf{M}_k = \frac{q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(q-1)(q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}-1)}{2}$.

Proof. (a) First of all, we observe that for each odd integer $k \geq 1$, the number L_k is equal to the number of pairs $(u(X), \theta(X))$, where $u(X)$ is a non-singular vector in U and $\theta(X)$ is a non-zero square in $\mathcal{K}_i$ satisfying $[u(X), u(X)]_\delta = \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta$. Now to determine the number L_k, we will apply induction on an odd integer $k \geq 1$.

When $k = 1$, we have $U = \langle r(X)\rangle$. From this, it follows trivially that $\mathsf{L}_1 = q-1$, i.e., the result holds for $k = 1$. Next we assume that $k \geq 3$ is an odd integer and the result holds for all $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Now let U be a k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$. As $k \geq 3$, by Lemma 7.3 and Theorem 11.2 of [18], there exists a hyperbolic pair in U, say $(e(X), f(X))$. Further by Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $U = \langle e(X), f(X)\rangle \perp \langle e(X), f(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle e(X), f(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of U. In view of this, any element $u(X) \in U$ can be written as $u(X) = \lambda(X)e(X) + \kappa(X)f(X) + w(X)$, where $\lambda(X), \kappa(X) \in \mathcal{K}_i$ and $w(X) \in \langle e(X), f(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now we shall count all pairs $(u(X), \theta(X))$ with $u(X)$ as a non-singular vector in U and $\theta(X)$ as a non-zero square in $\mathcal{K}_i$ satisfying $[u(X), u(X)]_\delta = \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta$. For this, we see that $[u(X), u(X)]_\delta = \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta$ if and only if

$$2\lambda(X)\kappa(X) + [w(X), w(X)]_\delta = \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta. \tag{1}$$

Here also, we shall distinguish the following two cases: **I.** $\lambda(X)\kappa(X) = 0$ and **II.** $\lambda(X)\kappa(X) \neq 0$.

I. Let $\lambda(X)\kappa(X) = 0$. Then by (1), we have $[w(X), w(X)]_\delta = \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta$, that is, the $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\langle w(X)\rangle$ and $\langle r(X)\rangle$ are isometric. As $w(X) \in \langle e(X), f(X)\rangle^\perp$, by applying the induction hypothesis, we see that the pair $(w(X), \theta(X))$ has precisely L_{k-2} relevant choices. Moreover, the pair $(\lambda(X), \kappa(X))$ has $2q-1$ choices. Thus in this case, there are precisely $(2q-1)\mathsf{L}_{k-2}$ number of pairs $(u(X), \theta(X))$, where $u(X)$ is a non-singular vector of U and $\theta(X)$ is a non-zero square in $\mathcal{K}_i$ satisfying $[u(X), u(X)]_\delta = \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta$.

II. Next let $\lambda(X)\kappa(X) \neq 0$. Then by (1), we get $[w(X), w(X)]_\delta \neq \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta$, that is, the $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle w(X)\rangle$ is not isometric to $\langle r(X)\rangle$. By applying the induction hypothesis, we see that the number of pairs $(w(X), \theta(X))$ with $\theta(X)$ as a non-zero square in $\mathcal{K}_i$ and $w(X) \in \langle e(X), f(X)\rangle^\perp$ satisfying $[w(X), w(X)]_\delta \neq \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta$ is given by $\frac{q^{k-2}(q-1)}{2} - \mathsf{L}_{k-2}$. In view of (1), we see that $\lambda(X)$ is fixed for a given choice of $\theta(X), w(X)$ and $\kappa(X)$. Also as $\kappa(X) \neq 0$, it has $q-1$ choices. Thus in this case, there are precisely $(q-1)\left(\frac{q^{k-2}(q-1)}{2} - \mathsf{L}_{k-2}\right)$ number of pairs $(u(X), \theta(X))$ with $u(X)$ as a non-singular vector of U and $\theta(X)$ as a non-zero square in $\mathcal{K}_i$ satisfying $[u(X), u(X)]_\delta = \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta$.

Therefore from the cases **I** and **II** above, we see that the number L_k of pairs $(u(X), \theta(X))$ with $u(X)$ as a non-singular vector in U and $\theta(X)$ as a non-zero square in $\mathcal{K}_i$ satisfying $[u(X), u(X)]_\delta = \theta(X)\,[r(X), r(X)]_\delta$, is given by $\mathsf{L}_k = (2q-1)\mathsf{L}_{k-2} + (q-1)\left(\frac{q^{k-2}(q-1)}{2} - \mathsf{L}_{k-2}\right)$. Further, after a simple computation and on substituting the value of $\mathsf{L}_1 = q-1$, we obtain $\mathsf{L}_k = \frac{q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(q-1)(q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}+1)}{2}$, which proves (a).

(b) To prove this, we observe that for each odd integer $k \geq 1$, the number $\mathsf{L}_k + \mathsf{M}_k$ is equal to the number of non-singular vectors in U. Furthermore, by Theorem 11.5 of [18], we see that the number of non-singular vectors in U is given by $q^k - 1 - I_{\frac{k-1}{2},1} = q^k - q^{k-1}$, where $I_{\frac{k-1}{2},1}$ denotes the number of isotropic vectors in U having Witt index $\frac{k-1}{2}$. From this and using part (a), we obtain $\mathsf{M}_k = q^k - q^{k-1} - \mathsf{L}_k = \frac{q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(q-1)(q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}-1)}{2}$, which proves (b). □

Proof of Proposition 3.4. To prove this, for each integer k $(0 \leq k \leq t)$, let $N_{i,k}$ denote the number of k-dimensional $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$. Then we have

$$N_i = \sum_{k=0}^{t} N_{i,k}. \tag{2}$$

Now we proceed to determine the number $N_{i,k}$ for each integer k, $0 \leq k \leq t$. Towards this, it is easy to observe that $N_{i,0} = N_{i,t} = 1$. So we assume $1 \leq k \leq t-1$ from now onwards. Here by Remark 3.1, we note that the number $N_{i,k}$ is equal to the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ for $1 \leq k \leq t-1$. Besides this, we recall that $(\mathcal{J}_i, Q_i)$ is a non-degenerate quadratic space over $\mathcal{K}_i \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$, where the quadratic map $Q_i : \mathcal{J}_i \to \mathcal{K}_i$ is defined as $Q_i(u(X)) = \frac{1}{2}[u(X), u(X)]_\delta$ for each $u(X) \in \mathcal{J}_i$. Then we observe the following:

(□) The discriminant of every 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ is a non-square in $\mathcal{K}_i$. As a consequence, every 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ is non-degenerate.

(■) Every 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ has an orthogonal basis, i.e., it has a basis of the type $\{u(X), v(X)\}$ with $[u(X), v(X)]_\delta = 0$.

Furthermore, by Proposition 1 of Drees et al. [3], we see that the determinant of $(\mathcal{J}_i, Q_i)$ is a non-square in $\mathcal{K}_i$. From this, by [18, p. 138] and assertion (□), it is easy to observe (see Huffman [9, p. 279]) that the Witt index $\mathfrak{w}$ of $(\mathcal{J}_i, Q_i)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{w} = \begin{cases} \frac{t-1}{2} & \text{if } t \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{t-2}{2} & \text{if either } t \text{ is even and } q \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \text{ or } t \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \text{ and } q \equiv 3 \pmod 4; \\ \frac{t}{2} & \text{if } t \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \text{ and } q \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Now in order to determine the number $N_{i,k}$, by [18, p. 138], we see that any k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ has a Witt decomposition of the form $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle a_{\mathfrak{m}_k}(X), b_{\mathfrak{m}_k}(X)\rangle \perp W_k$, where each $(a_\ell(X), b_\ell(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$, $\mathfrak{m}_k$ is its Witt index and W_k is an anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ with $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_i} W_k = k - 2\mathfrak{m}_k \leq 2$. By [18, p. 138], we also see that $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k-1}{2}$ when k is odd and $\mathfrak{m}_k$ is either $\frac{k-2}{2}$ or $\frac{k}{2}$ (depending upon the isometry class of $\mathcal{J}_i$) when k is even. We shall first determine the number $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{m}_k,\mathfrak{w}}$ of Witt bases of the type $\{a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\mathfrak{m}_k}(X), b_{\mathfrak{m}_k}(X)\} \cup \beta_{W_k}$ with β_{W_k} as a basis of the anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace W_k of $\mathcal{J}_i$, where the basis β_{W_k} is orthogonal when $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_i} W_k = 2$ (or equivalently, $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k-2}{2}$). For this, we shall distinguish the following two cases: **I.** k is odd and **II.** k is even.

I. Let k be odd. Here, by [18, p. 138], we have $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k-1}{2}$, which implies that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_i} W_k = 1$. So in this case, any k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ has a Witt decomposition of the form $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X)\rangle \perp \langle w(X)\rangle$, where $(a_\ell(X), b_\ell(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$ and $w(X)$ is a non-singular vector of $\mathcal{J}_i$. We first note that as $(a_1(X), b_1(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$, by [18, p. 141], it has $H_{\mathfrak{w},t-2\mathfrak{w}}$ choices, where $\mathfrak{w}$ is the Witt index of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Further by Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $\mathcal{J}_i = \langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $(t-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Now we choose the second hyperbolic pair $(a_2(X), b_2(X))$ from the quadratic space $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. By applying Witt's cancellation theorem, we see that the space $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ has Witt index $\mathfrak{w} - 1$. By [18, p. 141], again, we see that the second hyperbolic

pair $(a_2(X), b_2(X))$ has $H_{\mathfrak{w}-1,t-2\mathfrak{w}}$ choices. Continuing like this, we see that the $(\frac{k-1}{2})$th hyperbolic pair $(a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X))$ can be chosen in $H_{\mathfrak{w}-\frac{(k-3)}{2},t-2\mathfrak{w}}$ number of ways. Next to count all possible choices for the basis β_{W_k} of a 1-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace W_k of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, we need to choose a non-singular vector $w(X)$ from the $(t-k+1)$-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$. By applying Witt's cancellation theorem, we see that the Witt index of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is $\mathfrak{w} - \frac{(k-1)}{2}$. Now by Theorem 11.5 of [18], we see that the number of non-singular vectors in $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is given by $q^{t-k+1} - 1 - I_{\mathfrak{w}-\frac{(k-1)}{2},t-k+1-2(\mathfrak{w}-\frac{(k-1)}{2})}$. From this, it follows that when k is odd, the number of Witt bases of the form $\{a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(X)\} \cup \beta_{W_k}$ and having cardinality k in $\mathcal{J}_i$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-1}{2},\mathfrak{w}} = H_{\mathfrak{w},t-2\mathfrak{w}} H_{\mathfrak{w}-1,t-2\mathfrak{w}} \cdots H_{\mathfrak{w}-\frac{(k-1)}{2}+1,t-2\mathfrak{w}} \left(q^{t-k+1} - 1 - I_{\mathfrak{w}-\frac{(k-1)}{2},t-2\mathfrak{w}}\right). \tag{4}$$

This, by [18, pp. 140-141], gives

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-1}{2},\mathfrak{w}} = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{2tk-(k+1)^2}{4}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}-1)(q-1)\prod_{j=1}^{(k-1)/2}(q^{t-2j}-1) & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ q^{\frac{2t(k+1)-k(k+4)+1}{4}}(q-1)\prod_{j=0}^{(k-3)/2}(q^{t-2j-1}-1) & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-1}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ q^{\frac{2tk-(k+1)^2}{4}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}+1)(q-1)\prod_{j=1}^{(k-1)/2}(q^{t-2j}-1) & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-2}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Next when k is odd, let $\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-1}{2}}$ denote the number of Witt bases of a k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Here working in a similar manner as above and by [18, pp. 138-141], we obtain

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-1}{2}} = H_{\frac{k-1}{2},1} H_{\frac{k-3}{2},1} \cdots H_{1,1}(q-1) = q^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{4}}(q-1)\prod_{j=0}^{(k-3)/2}(q^{k-2j-1}-1). \tag{6}$$

Therefore when k is odd, using (5) and (6), we obtain

$$N_{i,k} = \frac{\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-1}{2},\mathfrak{w}}}{\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-1}{2}}} = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{tk-k^2-1}{2}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ q^{\frac{(t-k)(k+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\(k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-1}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ q^{\frac{tk-k^2-1}{2}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}+1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-2}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

II. Next let k be even. Here by [18, p. 138], we see that $\mathfrak{m}_k$ is either $\frac{k-2}{2}$ or $\frac{k}{2}$. For each even integer k $(1 \le k \le t-1)$, let $R_{i,k}$ denote the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having Witt index as $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k-2}{2}$ and $S_{i,k}$ denote the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having Witt index as $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k}{2}$. In view of this, we have

$$N_{i,k} = R_{i,k} + S_{i,k}. \tag{8}$$

Now we shall distinguish the following two cases: **A.** $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k-2}{2}$ and **B.** $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k}{2}$.

A. First let $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k-2}{2}$. In this case, by [18, p. 138], we see that any k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ has a Witt decomposition of the form $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle \perp W_k$, where each $(a_\ell(X), b_\ell(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$ and W_k is a 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$. We note that as $(a_1(X), b_1(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$, by [18, p. 141], it has $H_{\mathfrak{w},t-2\mathfrak{w}}$ choices, where $\mathfrak{w}$ is the Witt index of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Further by Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $\mathcal{J}_i = \langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $(t-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Continuing like this, we see that the $(\frac{k-2}{2})$th hyperbolic pair $(a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X))$ can be chosen in $H_{\mathfrak{w}-\frac{(k-4)}{2},t-2\mathfrak{w}}$ number of ways. In order to determine the number $\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-2}{2},\mathfrak{w}}$, we need to determine the number $\mathbb{A}_{k,\mathfrak{w}}$ of orthogonal bases of all 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of the $(t-k+2)$-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$. For this, by applying Witt's cancellation theorem,

we see that the space $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ has Witt index as $\mathfrak{w} - \frac{(k-2)}{2}$. Now we assert that

$$\mathbb{A}_{k,\mathfrak{w}} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}-1)(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}-1)}{2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t}{2}; \\ \frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)}{2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-1}{2}; \\ \frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}+1)(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}+1)}{2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-2}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

To prove assertion (9), we will consider the following three cases separately: **(i)** $\mathfrak{w} = \frac{t}{2}$, **(ii)** $\mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-1}{2}$ and **(iii)** $\mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-2}{2}$.

(i) First let $\mathfrak{w} = \frac{t}{2}$. Here we assert the following:

(a) The number of orthogonal bases of the type $\{u(X), v(X)\}$ with $u(X), v(X)$ as non-singular vectors of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, is given by $q^{\frac{3(t-k)}{2}}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}-1)$.

(b) The number of orthogonal bases of the type $\{u(X), v(X)\}$ with $u(X), v(X)$ as non-singular vectors of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ and $\langle u(X), v(X)\rangle$ containing a singular vector, is given by $\frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}-1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}+1)}{2}$.

To prove (a), we need to count orthogonal bases of the type $\{u(X), v(X)\}$ with $u(X), v(X)$ as non-singular vectors of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. For this, we see that as $\mathfrak{w} = \frac{t}{2}$, by Witt's cancellation theorem, the Witt index of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is $\frac{t}{2} - \frac{(k-2)}{2} = \frac{t-k+2}{2}$. Now as $u(X)$ is a non-singular vector in $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, by Theorem 11.5 of [18], we see that $u(X)$ has $q^{t-k+2} - 1 - I_{\frac{(t-k+2)}{2},0}$ choices. Further, by applying Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle u(X)\rangle \perp \langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $(t-k+1)$-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now we will choose another non-singular vector $v(X)$ from the space $\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. As $u(X)$ is non-singular, we see that $u(X) \notin \langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Moreover, since $t-k+1$ is odd, by [18, p. 138], we see that the Witt index of $\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is $\frac{t-k}{2}$. Then we see that $v(X)$ has $q^{t-k+1} - 1 - I_{\frac{t-k}{2},1}$ choices. From this and using Theorem 11.5 of [18], we see that the number of choices for the basis $\{u(X), v(X)\}$ with $u(X), v(X)$ as non-singular vectors of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ and satisfying $[u(X), v(X)]_\delta = 0$, is given by $(q^{t-k+2} - 1 - I_{\frac{(t-k+2)}{2},0})(q^{t-k+1} - 1 - I_{\frac{(t-k)}{2},1}) = q^{\frac{3(t-k)}{2}}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}-1)$.

To prove (b), we see that if there exists a singular vector, say $r_1(X)$, in a 2-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle u(X), v(X)\rangle$ of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ satisfying $Q_i\big(u(X)\big) \neq 0$, $Q_i\big(v(X)\big) \neq 0$ and $[u(X), v(X)]_\delta = 0$, then by Lemma 7.3 of [18], we have $\langle u(X), v(X)\rangle = \langle r_1(X), r_2(X)\rangle$, where $(r_1(X), r_2(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in the $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$. By [18, p. 138], we see that the space $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ contains $H_{\frac{t-k+2}{2},0}$ hyperbolic pairs. On the other hand, by [18, p. 138] again, we see that there are precisely $H_{1,0}$ distinct hyperbolic pairs in a 2-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ containing a singular vector. Thus the number of distinct 2-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ containing a singular vector is given by $\frac{H_{\frac{t-k+2}{2},0}}{H_{1,0}}$, which equals $\frac{q^{t-k}(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}-1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}+1)}{2(q-1)}$ by [18, p. 141]. Further, we observe that within each such non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle u(X), v(X)\rangle = \langle r_1(X), r_2(X)\rangle$ of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ containing a singular vector, there are precisely $(q-1)^3$ distinct choices for a basis of the type $\{u(X), v(X)\}$ satisfying $Q_i\big(u(X)\big) \neq 0$, $Q_i\big(v(X)\big) \neq 0$ and $[u(X), v(X)]_\delta = 0$. Thus there are precisely $\Delta_k = \frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^3(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}-1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}+1)}{2(q-1)}$ choices for a basis of the type $\{u(X), v(X)\}$ with $u(X), v(X) \in \langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ satisfying $Q_i\big(u(X)\big) \neq 0$, $Q_i\big(v(X)\big) \neq 0$, $[u(X), v(X)]_\delta = 0$ and $\langle u(X), v(X)\rangle$ containing a singular vector.

Now from assertions (a) and (b), it follows that the number of orthogonal bases of 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is given by $\mathbb{A}_{k,\frac{t}{2}} = q^{\frac{3(t-k)}{2}}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}$

$-1)-\Delta_k=\frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}-1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}-1)}{2}$, which proves assertion (9) when $\mathfrak{w}=\frac{t}{2}$.

(ii) Next let $\mathfrak{w}=\frac{t-1}{2}$. Here we assert the following:

(c) The number of orthogonal bases of the type $\{u(X),v(X)\}$ with $u(X),v(X)$ as non-singular vectors of $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is given by $q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)$.

(d) The number of orthogonal bases of the type $\{u(X),v(X)\}$ with $u(X),v(X)$ as non-singular vectors of $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ and $\langle u(X),v(X)\rangle$ containing a singular vector, is given by $\frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)}{2}$.

To prove this assertion, as $\mathfrak{w}=\frac{t-1}{2}$, by [18, p. 138], we write $\mathcal{J}_i=\langle r_1(X),s_1(X)\rangle\perp\cdots\perp\langle r_{\frac{t-1}{2}}(X),s_{\frac{t-1}{2}}(X)\rangle\perp\langle\eta(X)\rangle$, where $(r_\ell(X),s_\ell(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$ for $1\le\ell\le\frac{t-1}{2}$ and $\eta(X)$ is a non-singular vector of $\mathcal{J}_i$.

In order to prove (c), by applying Witt's cancellation theorem, we see that the Witt index of the $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ is $\frac{(t-1)}{2}-\frac{(k-2)}{2}=\frac{t-k+1}{2}$. Now we need to choose a non-singular vector $u(X)$ from the space $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Here the following two cases arise:

- the non-singular vector $u(X)\in\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is such that the $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle u(X)\rangle$ is isometric to $\langle\eta(X)\rangle$, and
- the non-singular vector $u(X)\in\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is such that the $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace $\langle u(X)\rangle$ is not isometric to $\langle\eta(X)\rangle$.

First of all, we choose a non-singular vector $u(X)$ from $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ such that $\langle u(X)\rangle$ is isometric to $\langle\eta(X)\rangle$. In this case, by Lemma 3.2(a), we see that $u(X)$ has L_{t-k+2} choices. Next for each such choice of $u(X)$, by applying Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}=\langle u(X)\rangle\perp\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $(t-k+1)$-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Here as $\langle u(X)\rangle$ and $\langle\eta(X)\rangle$ are isometric, by Witt's cancellation theorem, we see that the Witt index of $\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is $\frac{t-k+1}{2}$. Now we will choose another non-singular vector $v(X)$ from the space $\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. As $u(X)$ is non-singular, we see that $u(X)\notin\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. By [18, p. 138], we observe that $v(X)$ has $q^{t-k+1}-1-I_{\frac{(t-k+1)}{2},0}$ relevant choices. Therefore by Theorem 11.5 of [18] and Lemma 3.2(a), the number of choices for the basis $\{u(X),v(X)\}$ with $\langle u(X)\rangle$ isometric to $\langle\eta(X)\rangle$ and satisfying $Q_i\big(u(X)\big)\neq0,\ Q_i\big(v(X)\big)\neq0$ and $[u(X),v(X)]_\delta=0$, is given by $\mathsf{L}_{t-k+2}(q^{t-k+1}-1-I_{\frac{(t-k+1)}{2},0})=\frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)}{2}$.

Next we choose a non-singular vector $u(X)$ from $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ such that $\langle u(X)\rangle$ is not isometric to $\langle\eta(X)\rangle$. Here by Lemma 3.2(b), such a non-singular vector $u(X)$ has M_{t-k+2} choices. Now by applying Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}=\langle u(X)\rangle\perp\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $(t-k+1)$-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Here as the $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces $\langle u(X)\rangle$ and $\langle\eta(X)\rangle$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ are not isometric, we see, by Witt's cancellation theorem, that the Witt index of $\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is $\frac{t-k-1}{2}$. Now we will choose another non-singular vector $v(X)$ from the space $\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. As $u(X)$ is non-singular, we see that $u(X)\notin\langle u(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. By [18, p. 138], we see that $v(X)$ has $q^{t-k+1}-1-I_{\frac{(t-k-1)}{2},2}$ choices. Therefore, by Theorem 11.5 of [18] and Lemma 3.2(b), the number of choices for the basis $\{u(X),v(X)\}$ with $\langle u(X)\rangle$ not isometric to $\langle\eta(X)\rangle$ and satisfying $Q_i\big(u(X)\big)\neq0,\ Q_i\big(v(X)\big)\neq0$ and $[u(X),v(X)]_\delta=0$, is given by $\mathsf{M}_{t-k+2}(q^{t-k+1}-1-I_{\frac{(t-k-1)}{2},2})=\frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)}{2}$.

From the above, we see that the number of choices for the basis $\{u(X),v(X)\}$ with $u(X),v(X)\in\langle a_1(X),b_1(X),\cdots,a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X),b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ satisfying $Q_i\big(u(X)\big)\neq0,\ Q_i\big(v(X)\big)\neq0$ and $[u(X),v(X)]_\delta=0$, is given by $\frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)}{2}+\frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)}{2}=q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)$, which proves (c).

Next working in a similar way as in assertion (b) of case (i), assertion (d) follows.

Now from assertions (c) and (d), we see that the number of orthogonal bases of 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is given by $\mathbb{A}_{k,\frac{t-1}{2}} = q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1) - \frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)}{2} = \frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{t-k+1}-1)}{2}$, which proves assertion (9) when $\mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-1}{2}$.

(iii) Next suppose that $\mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-2}{2}$. Here working in a similar manner as in case (i), we observe the following:

(e) The number of orthogonal bases of the type $\{u(X), v(X)\}$ with $u(X), v(X)$ as non-singular vectors of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is given by $q^{\frac{3(t-k)}{2}}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}+1)$.

(f) The number of orthogonal bases of the type $\{u(X), v(X)\}$ with $u(X), v(X)$ as non-singular vectors of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ and $\langle u(X), v(X)\rangle$ containing a singular vector, is given by $\frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}+1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}-1)}{2}$.

Now from (e) and (f), it follows that the number of orthogonal bases of 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is given by $\mathbb{A}_{k,\frac{t-2}{2}} = q^{\frac{3(t-k)}{2}}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}+1) - \frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}+1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}-1)}{2} = \frac{q^{t-k}(q-1)^2(q^{\frac{t-k+2}{2}}+1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}+1)}{2}$, which proves assertion (9) when $\mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-2}{2}$.

Next we see that the number of Witt bases of the form $\{a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\} \cup \beta_{W_k}$ with β_{W_k} as an orthogonal basis of a 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace W_k of $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, is given by

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-2}{2},\mathfrak{w}} = H_{\mathfrak{w},t-2\mathfrak{w}} H_{\mathfrak{w}-1,t-2\mathfrak{w}} \cdots H_{\mathfrak{w}-\frac{(k-2)}{2}+1,t-2\mathfrak{w}} \mathbb{A}_{k,\mathfrak{w}}. \tag{10}$$

From this, using (9), (10) and [18, p. 141], we get

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-2}{2},\mathfrak{w}} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{\frac{k(2t-k-2)}{4}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}-1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}-1)(q-1)^2}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{(k-2)/2}(q^{t-2j}-1) & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{q^{\frac{k(2t-k-2)}{4}}(q-1)^2}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{(k-2)/2}(q^{t-2j-1}-1) & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-1}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{q^{\frac{k(2t-k-2)}{4}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}+1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}+1)(q-1)^2}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{(k-2)/2}(q^{t-2j}-1) & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-2}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Next let $\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-2}{2}}$ denote the number of Witt bases of a k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ of the form $\{a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(X)\} \cup \beta_{W_k}$, where β_{W_k} is an orthogonal basis of the 2-dimensional anisotropic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace W_k of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Here also, working in a similar manner as above and by [18, pp. 138-141], we obtain

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-2}{2}} = H_{\frac{k-2}{2},2} H_{\frac{k-4}{2},2} \cdots H_{1,2}(q^2-1)(q-1) = q^{\frac{k(k-2)}{4}}(q^{\frac{k}{2}}+1)(q-1)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{(k-2)/2}(q^{k-2j}-1). \tag{12}$$

Therefore the number $R_{i,k}$ of k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having Witt index as $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k-2}{2}$, is given by $R_{i,k} = \frac{\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-2}{2},\mathfrak{w}}}{\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k-2}{2}}}$. From this and using (11) and (12), we obtain

$$R_{i,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}(q^{\frac{k}{2}}-1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}-1)}{2(q^{\frac{t}{2}}+1)} \begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}(q^{\frac{k}{2}}-1)}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (t-1)/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-1}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}(q^{\frac{k}{2}}-1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}+1)}{2(q^{\frac{t}{2}}-1)} \begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \frac{t-2}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

B. Next let $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k}{2}$. Here by [18, p. 138], any k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ has a Witt decomposition of the form $\langle a_1(X), b_1(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle a_{\frac{k}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k}{2}}(X)\rangle$, where $(a_\ell(X), b_\ell(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{J}_i$ for $1 \le \ell \le \frac{k}{2}$. Now working as in case **A**, we see that the number of Witt bases of the form $\{a_1(X), b_1(X), \cdots, a_{\frac{k}{2}}(X), b_{\frac{k}{2}}(X)\}$ in $\mathcal{J}_i$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k}{2},\mathfrak{w}} = H_{\mathfrak{w},t-2\mathfrak{w}} H_{\mathfrak{w}-1,t-2\mathfrak{w}} \cdots H_{\mathfrak{w}-\frac{(k-2)}{2},t-2\mathfrak{w}}. \tag{14}$$

This, by [18, p. 141], gives

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k}{2},\mathfrak{w}} = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{k(2t-k-2)}{4}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}-1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}+1)\prod_{j=1}^{(k-2)/2}(q^{t-2j}-1) & \text{if } \mathfrak{w}=\frac{t}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ q^{\frac{k(2t-k-2)}{4}}\prod_{j=0}^{(k-2)/2}(q^{t-2j-1}-1) & \text{if } \mathfrak{w}=\frac{t-1}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ q^{\frac{k(2t-k-2)}{4}}(q^{\frac{t}{2}}+1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{(k-2)/2}(q^{t-2j}-1) & \text{if } \mathfrak{w}=\frac{t-2}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Next when $\mathfrak{m}_k = \frac{k}{2}$, let $\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k}{2}}$ denote the number of Witt bases of a k-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having Witt index as $\frac{k}{2}$. Here working in a similar manner as above and by [18, pp. 138-141], we obtain

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k}{2}} = H_{\frac{k}{2},0} H_{\frac{k-2}{2},0} \cdots H_{1,0} = 2q^{\frac{k(k-2)}{4}}(q^{\frac{k}{2}}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{(k-2)/2}(q^{k-2j}-1). \tag{16}$$

Therefore the number $S_{i,k}$ of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having Witt index as $\frac{k}{2}$, is given by $S_{i,k} = \frac{\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k}{2},\mathfrak{w}}}{\mathfrak{Q}_{\frac{k}{2}}}$. From this and using (15) and (16), we obtain

$$S_{i,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}(q^{\frac{k}{2}}+1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}+1)}{2(q^{\frac{t}{2}}+1)}\begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w}=\frac{t}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}(q^{\frac{k}{2}}+1)}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (t-1)/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w}=\frac{t-1}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}(q^{\frac{k}{2}}+1)(q^{\frac{t-k}{2}}-1)}{2(q^{\frac{t}{2}}-1)}\begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w}=\frac{t-2}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

Now on substituting the values of $R_{i,k}$ and $S_{i,k}$ from (13) and (17) in (8), we obtain

$$N_{i,k} = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w}=\frac{t}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ q^{\frac{k(t-k+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix} (t-1)/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w}=\frac{t-1}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ q^{\frac{k(t-k)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix} t/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{w}=\frac{t-2}{2} \text{ and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

Further, on substituting the values of $N_{i,k}$ from (7) and (18) (accordingly as k is even or odd) in (2) and using equation (3), the desired result follows. □

By closely looking at the proof of Proposition 3.4, we deduce the following divisibility results:

Corollary 3.1. *Let q be an odd prime power and λ, μ be positive integers satisfying $\mu \leq \lambda$. Then we have the following:*

(a) If $q \equiv 3 (mod\ 4)$ and λ is odd, then both $\frac{(q^\mu+1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}+1)}{2(q^\lambda+1)}\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ and $\frac{(q^\mu-1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}-1)}{2(q^\lambda+1)}\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ are integers.

(b) If either $q \equiv 3 (mod\ 4)$ and λ is even or $q \equiv 1 (mod\ 4)$, then both $\frac{(q^\mu+1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}-1)}{2(q^\lambda-1)}\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ and $\frac{(q^\mu-1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}+1)}{2(q^\lambda-1)}\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ are integers.

Proof. (a) Let $i \in \mathfrak{I}$, $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$, $q \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 4)$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_i} \mathcal{J}_i = 2\lambda$, where λ is an odd integer. Then by (3), we see that the Witt index of $\mathcal{J}_i$ is λ. Further, by (13), we see that the number of (2μ)-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having Witt index as $(\mu-1)$ is given by $R_{i,2\mu} = q^{2\mu(\lambda-\mu)}\frac{(q^\mu-1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}-1)}{2(q^\lambda+1)}\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$, from which it follows that $\frac{(q^\mu-1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}-1)}{2(q^\lambda+1)}\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ is an integer.

Moroever, using (17), we see that the number of (2μ)-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having Witt index as μ is given by $S_{i,2\mu} = q^{2\mu(\lambda-\mu)}\frac{(q^\mu+1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}+1)}{2(q^\lambda+1)}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda\\ \mu\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$, which implies that $\frac{(q^\mu+1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}+1)}{2(q^\lambda+1)}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda\\ \mu\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ is an integer.

(b) Let $i \in \mathfrak{I}$, $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_i}\mathcal{J}_i = 2\lambda$, where λ is an even integer when $q \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4)$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ is any integer when $q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4)$. Here by (3), we see that the Witt index of $\mathcal{J}_i$ is $(\lambda-1)$. Further, by (13), we see that the number of (2μ)-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having Witt index as $(\mu-1)$ is given by $R_{i,2\mu} = q^{2\mu(\lambda-\mu)}\frac{(q^\mu-1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}+1)}{2(q^\lambda-1)}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda\\ \mu\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$, from which it follows that $\frac{(q^\mu-1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}+1)}{2(q^\lambda-1)}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda\\ \mu\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ is an integer. Moroever, using (17), we see that the number of (2μ)-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic $\mathcal{K}_i$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_i$ having Witt index as μ is given by $S_{i,2\mu} = q^{2\mu(\lambda-\mu)}\frac{(q^\mu+1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}-1)}{2(q^\lambda-1)}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda\\ \mu\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$, which implies that $\frac{(q^\mu+1)(q^{\lambda-\mu}-1)}{2(q^\lambda-1)}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda\\ \mu\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ is an integer. □

Next we shall consider the case $\delta = 0$ and q is even. As $\gcd(n, q) = 1$, n must be odd, which implies that $\mathfrak{I} = \{0\}$, i.e., $i = 0$ is the only choice. In the following proposition, we determine the number N_0 of $\mathcal{K}_0$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_0$ of $\mathcal{J}_0$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}_0^{(0)} = \{0\}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\delta = 0$ and q be an even prime power. Then the number N_0 of $\mathcal{K}_0$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_0$ of $\mathcal{J}_0$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}_0^{(0)} = \{0\}$ is given by*

(a) $N_0 = 2 + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 0(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{k(t-k+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 1(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{(t-k)(k+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *if t is odd.*

(b) $N_0 = 2 + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 0(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{tk-k^2-2}{2}}\Big\{(q^k+q-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + (q^{t-k+1}-q^{t-k}+1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\Big\} + \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\ k\equiv 1(mod\ 2)}}^{t-1} q^{\frac{tk-k^2+t-1}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ *if t is even.*

Proof. To prove this, for each integer k $(0 \leq k \leq t)$, let $N_{0,k}$ denote the number of k-dimensional $\mathcal{K}_0$-subspaces $\mathcal{C}_0$ of $\mathcal{J}_0$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}_0^{(0)} = \{0\}$. Then we have $N_0 = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{t} N_{0,k}$. For this, we first observe that $N_{0,0} = N_{0,t} = 1$. So from now onwards, we assume that $1 \leq k \leq t-1$. By Remark 3.1, we note that the number $N_{0,k}$ is equal to the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_0$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_0$ for $1 \leq k \leq t-1$. To determine the numbers $N_{0,k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, by Huffman [9, p. 264], we see that $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{aM(X) : a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ and $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{rM(X) : r \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$, where $M(X) = 1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{n-1} \neq 0$. In view of this, it is easy to observe that $[aM(X), bM(X)]_0 = n\text{Tr}_{q,t}(ab)M(X) = n(a,b)_0 M(X)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. From this, we observe that if two vectors $aM(X), bM(X) \in \mathcal{J}_0$ are orthogonal with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_0\times\mathcal{J}_0}$, then the corresponding vectors $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ are also orthogonal with respect to ordinary trace bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)_0$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ and vice versa. So for each integer k $(1 \leq k \leq t-1)$, the number $N_{0,k}$ of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_0$-subspaces of $\mathcal{J}_0$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_0\times\mathcal{J}_0}$ is equal to the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_0$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$.

In order to determine the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_0$, let $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} : \text{Tr}_{q,t}(x) = 0\}$, i.e., $\mathcal{V}_0$ equals kernel of the trace map $\text{Tr}_{q,t}$. Then it is well-known that $\mathcal{V}_0$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ having dimension $t-1$. Now we will distinguish the following two cases: t is odd and t is even.

(a) Let t be odd. Here we see that $1 \notin \mathcal{V}_0$ and $(v, 1)_0 = 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, which implies that $\mathbb{F}_{q^t} = \mathcal{V}_0 \perp \langle 1\rangle$. Furthermore, by Huffman [9, p. 280], we see that $(\cdot,\cdot)_0$ is a reflexive, non-degenerate and alternating form on $\mathcal{V}_0$, i.e., $(\mathcal{V}_0, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_0\times\mathcal{V}_0})$ is a symplectic space over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

We now proceed to count all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_0$. To do so, we first observe that any k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is **I.** either contained in $\mathcal{V}_0$, or **II.** contained in $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ but not in $\mathcal{V}_0$, i.e., it is of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k\rangle$ with $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_0 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_0$.

I. As $(\mathcal{V}_0, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_0\times\mathcal{V}_0})$ is a symplectic space, by [18, p. 69], we see that a k-dimensional non-degenerate (and hence symplectic) $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_0$ exists if and only if k is even. Further, working in a similar manner as in Proposition 3.3 and using the fact that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}\mathcal{V}_0 = t-1$, we see that the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate (and hence symplectic) $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_0$ is given by $q^{\frac{k(t-k-1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ when k is even.

II. We will next count all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k\rangle$, where $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_0 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_0$.

First let k be even. Here when $x_k = 0$, by applying Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is degenerate. Next let $x_k \neq 0$. In this case, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17] again, we note that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k\rangle$ is a k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ if and only if $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, x_k\rangle$ is a k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_0$. Now working similarly as in Proposition 3.3 again, we see that the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_0$ is given by $q^{\frac{k(t-k-1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Further, we observe that given any k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_0$, there are precisely q^k-1 distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k\rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_0 \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore when k is even, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k\rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_0 \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{k(t-k-1)}{2}}(q^k-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

Next let k be odd. Here using Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that when $x_k = 0$, $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1\rangle$ is a k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ if and only if $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ is a $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_0$. Now as $(\mathcal{V}_0, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_0\times\mathcal{V}_0})$ is a symplectic space over $\mathbb{F}_q$, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, we see that the number of $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_0$ is $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$, which is equal to the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1\rangle$ with $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_0\setminus\{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Next let x_k be non-zero. In this case, applying Theorem 5.1.1 of [17] again, we see that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k\rangle$ is a k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ if and only if $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ is a $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_0$. Further, working in a similar way as in Proposition 3.3 again, we see that the number of $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_0$ is $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Further, for a given $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_0$, we need to count all choices for $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_0 \setminus \{0\}$ that give rise to distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. To do this, using Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $\mathcal{V}_0 = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle \perp \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$, where the $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ of $\mathcal{V}_0$ has dimension $t-k$. Therefore each $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_0$ can be uniquely written as $x_k = w + \widetilde{w}$, where $w \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ and $\widetilde{w} \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$. It is easy to see that the subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+w+\widetilde{w}\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\widetilde{w}\rangle$, where $\widetilde{w} \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$. We further observe that each $x_k \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_\delta} \setminus \{0\}$ gives rise to a distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, and thus there are precisely $q^{t-k}-1$ relevant choices for x_k. Therefore when k is odd, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k\rangle$ with $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_0 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k)}{2}}(q^{t-k}-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

On combining all the above cases, when t is odd, for $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, we get

$$N_{0,k} = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{k(t-k+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } k \text{ is even;}\\ q^{\frac{(t-k)(k+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-1)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.}\end{cases}$$

(b) Let t be even. Here we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(1) = 0$, which implies that $1 \in \mathcal{V}_0$. Since the trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}$

is non-zero, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Without any loss of generality, we assume that $\mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(\alpha) = 1$. Now we define $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_0 \cap \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{V}_0$. It is clear that $1 \notin \mathcal{V}_1$. By Huffman [9, p. 281], we see that $\mathcal{V}_1$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ having dimension $t-2$ and $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle 1 \rangle$, which implies that $\mathbb{F}_{q^t} = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$. Further, we observe that $(\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_1}$ is a reflexive, non-degenerate and alternating form, that is, $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_1})$ is a symplectic space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In this case, for $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, we see that any k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is **III.** either contained in $\mathcal{V}_1$, or **IV.** contained in $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle 1 \rangle$ but not in $\mathcal{V}_1$, or **V.** contained in $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$ but not in $\mathcal{V}_1$, or **VI.** contained in $\mathbb{F}_{q^t} = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$ but not in any of the spaces $\mathcal{V}_1$, $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle 1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$.

III. To begin with, we shall first enumerate all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ that are contained in the symplectic space $\mathcal{V}_1$. Here we must have $1 \leq k \leq t-2$. When k is odd, by [18, p. 69], we see that there does not exist any k-dimensional non-degenerate (and hence symplectic) $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$. When k is even, working as in Proposition 3.3, it is easy to see that the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is given by $q^{\frac{k(t-k-2)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

IV. Next we proceed to enumerate all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ that are contained in $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle 1 \rangle$ but not in $\mathcal{V}_1$. For this, we observe that any such k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1 + x_k \rangle$, where $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$. Here by applying Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], it is easy to observe that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k \rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is degenerate when either $x_k = 0$ or $x_k \neq 0$ and k is odd. Further, when k is even and $x_k \neq 0$, the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k \rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k \rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now when k is even, working in a similar manner as in case II of part (a), we see that the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+x_k \rangle$ with $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, is given by $q^{\frac{k(t-k-2)}{2}}(q^k-1)\begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

V. Next we shall enumerate all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ that are contained in $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$ but not in $\mathcal{V}_1$. To do so, we observe that any such k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k \rangle$, where $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$.

First let k be even. Here when $x_k = 0$, by applying Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], it is easy to observe that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, \alpha \rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is degenerate. When $x_k \neq 0$, the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k \rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k \rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now working as in case II of part (a), we see that when k is even, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k \rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{k(t-k-2)}{2}}(q^k-1)\begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

Next let k be odd. Here using Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that when $x_k = 0$, the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, \alpha \rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-1)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now as $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_1})$ is a symplectic space, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, we see that the number of such spaces is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. When $x_k \neq 0$, by applying Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k \rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-1)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now working as in case II of part (a), we see that the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k \rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}(q^{t-k-1}-1)\begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

VI. We next proceed to enumerate all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ that are not contained in any of the subspaces $\mathcal{V}_1$, $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle 1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Towards this, we first observe that any such k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is of the following two types:

- $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1 + \lambda\alpha + x_k \rangle$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$.
- $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1 + x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k \rangle$, where $k \geq 2$, $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-2$ and $x_{k-1}, x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$.

To begin with, we shall first count all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+x_k\rangle$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$.

First let k be even. When $x_k = 0$, by applying Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is degenerate for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. When $x_k \neq 0$, by applying Theorem 5.1.1 of [17] again, we see that for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now as $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathcal{V}_1})$ is a symplectic space, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, we see that the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is given by $q^{\frac{k(t-k-2)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Further, it is easy to observe that each k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ gives rise to precisely $(q^k-1)(q-1)$ distinct k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+x_k\rangle$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Therefore when k is even, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+x_k\rangle$ with $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{k(t-k-2)}{2}}(q^k-1)(q-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

Next let k be odd. Here we observe, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], that when $x_k = 0$, the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-1)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Now working as in Proposition 3.3, we see that the number of $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of the symplectic space $\mathcal{V}_1$ is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Further, we observe that each $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ gives rise to precisely $(q-1)$ distinct $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore when $x_k = 0$, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ with x_j in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}(q-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ when k is odd. Next let x_k be non-zero. Here using Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-1)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now as $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathcal{V}_1})$ is a symplectic space, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, we see that the number of $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Further, for a given $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$, we need to count all choices for $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ that give rise to distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. To determine the number of choices for such an element $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$, we note that given any $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$, by Proposition 2.9 of [6], we can write $\mathcal{V}_1 = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle \perp \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$, where $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ having dimension $t-k-1$. In view of this, each $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$ can be uniquely written as $x_k = v + \widetilde{v}$, where $v \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ and $\widetilde{v} \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$. It is easy to see that the subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+v+\widetilde{v}\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+\widetilde{v}\rangle$, where $\widetilde{v} \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$. We further observe that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, each non-zero $x_k \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ gives rise to a distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, and thus there are precisely $q^{t-k-1}-1$ relevant choices for x_k. Moreover, each choice of $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ gives rise to a distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+x_k\rangle$. Therefore the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha+x_k\rangle$ with $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}(q-1)(q^{t-k-1}-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\ (k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ when k is odd.

Henceforth we assume that $k \geq 2$. Now we shall enumerate all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-

subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the form $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ with $k \geq 2$, where $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-2$ and $x_{k-1}, x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$. Here also, we shall distinguish the cases: **A.** k is even and **B.** k is odd.
Case A. First let k be even. When $x_{k-1} = x_k = 0$, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1, \alpha\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-2)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now as $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathcal{V}_1})$ is a symplectic space, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, we see that the number of such spaces is given by $q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. When $x_{k-1} \neq 0$ and $x_k = 0$, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-2)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now working as in case II of part (a), we see that the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha\rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}}(q^{t-k}-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. When $x_{k-1} = 0$ and $x_k \neq 0$, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-2)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now working as in case II of part (a), we see that the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}}(q^{t-k}-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Next suppose that both $x_{k-1}, x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

For any even integer $k \geq 2$, if $\mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k)$, $\mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2})$ and $\mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k)$ are Gram matrices of $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$, $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ and $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ respectively, then we observe that

$$\det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k) = \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}) + \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k).$$

This, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], implies that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if either

- **(i)** the $(k-2)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate and the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is degenerate, or
- **(ii)** the $(k-2)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is degenerate and the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate, or
- **(iii)** both the $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ and $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ are non-degenerate with $\det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) \neq \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2})$.

We also observe that the condition $\det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) \neq \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2})$ trivially holds in the cases **(i)** and **(ii)**.
(i) We shall first enumerate all k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ such that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ is a $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ is a k-dimensional degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$. For this, as $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathcal{V}_1})$ is a symplectic space, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, we see that the number of $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is $q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Now for each such $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$, we need to count all choices for linearly independent vectors $x_{k-1}, x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ such that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is degenerate and that give rise to distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. For this, by Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $\mathcal{V}_1 = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle \perp \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$, where the dimension of $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ is $t-k$. In view of this, both $x_{k-1}, x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ can be uniquely written as $x_{k-1} = w_1 + \widetilde{w_1}$ and $x_k = w_2 + \widetilde{w_2}$, where $w_1, w_2 \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ and $\widetilde{w_1}, \widetilde{w_2} \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$. It is easy to observe that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+w_1+\widetilde{w_1}, \alpha+w_2+\widetilde{w_2}\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+\widetilde{w_1}, \alpha+\widetilde{w_2}\rangle$. We further observe that each pair (x_{k-1}, x_k) of linearly independent vectors in $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ gives rise to a distinct k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+$

$x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$. It is easy to see that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle \perp \langle x_{k-1}, x_k\rangle$. This implies that $\det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) = \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}) \det \mathfrak{G}(x_{k-1}, x_k)$. Therefore by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is degenerate if and only if $\mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(x_{k-1}x_k) = 0$ if and only if $x_k \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$. As $x_{k-1} \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0} \setminus \{0\}$, it has $q^{t-k} - 1$ choices. Further, we note that $x_k \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$, whose dimension is $t - k - 1$ by Proposition 2.4 of [6]. Also as $x_{k-1} \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$, we see that x_k has $q^{t-k-1} - q$ choices, which implies that the pair (x_{k-1}, x_k) has $(q^{t-k} - 1)(q^{t-k-1} - q)$ choices. Therefore for an even integer $k \geq 4$, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the form $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1 + x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k\rangle$ such that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ is a $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ is a k-dimensional degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}}(q^{t-k} - 1)(q^{t-k-1} - q)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

(ii) Next we will enumerate all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1 + x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ such that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ is a k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ is a $(k-2)$-dimensional degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$. For this, as $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_1})$ is a symplectic space, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, the number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is $q^{\frac{k(t-k-2)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Working as in Proposition 3.3 again, we see that every k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ has precisely $q^{k-2}\begin{bmatrix}k/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$ distinct $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces, which implies that the number of $(k-2)$-dimensional degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of the k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is given by $\left\{\begin{bmatrix}k\\k-2\end{bmatrix}_q - q^{k-2}\begin{bmatrix}k/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\right\}$. Furthermore, we observe that each such $(k-2)$-dimensional degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_{k-2}\rangle$ of $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ gives rise to precisely $(q^2 - 1)(q^2 - q)$ distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the form $\langle y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_{k-2}, 1 + y_{k-1}, \alpha + y_k\rangle$ satisfying $\langle y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_k\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$. Therefore for an even integer $k \geq 4$, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the form $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1 + x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k\rangle$ such that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ is a $(k-2)$-dimensional degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ is a k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$, is given by $(q^2 - 1)(q^2 - q)q^{\frac{k(t-k-2)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\left\{\begin{bmatrix}k\\k-2\end{bmatrix}_q - q^{k-2}\begin{bmatrix}k/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\right\}$.

(iii) Finally, we will enumerate all k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ such that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ is a k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ is a $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ with $\det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) \neq \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2})$. To do so, we see that as $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_1})$ is a $(t-2)$-dimensional symplectic space over $\mathbb{F}_q$, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, the number of distinct $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is $q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Next for each $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$, we need to determine choices for linearly independent vectors x_{k-1}, x_k in $\mathcal{V}_1$ such that the $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate and $\det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) \neq \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2})$. For this, by Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $\mathcal{V}_1 = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle \perp \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$, where the dimension of $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ is $t - k$. So we need to choose vectors x_{k-1} and x_k from $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$, and each pair (x_{k-1}, x_k) of linearly independent vectors in $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ gives rise to a distinct k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1 + x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$. It is easy to see that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle \perp \langle x_{k-1}, x_k\rangle$, which gives

$$\det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) = \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}) \det \mathfrak{G}(x_{k-1}, x_k).$$

From this, it follows that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate if and only if $\det \mathfrak{G}(x_{k-1}, x_k) = \mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(x_{k-1}x_k)^2 \neq 0$ if and only if $x_k \notin \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$. Besides this, we note that $\det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) \neq \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2})$ if and only if $\det \mathfrak{G}(x_{k-1}, x_k) = \mathrm{Tr}_{q,t}(x_{k-1}x_k)^2 \neq 1$ if and only if (x_{k-1}, x_k) is not a hyperbolic pair in $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$. First of all, we shall count all pairs (x_{k-1}, x_k) of linearly independent vectors in $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ satisfying $x_k \notin \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$.

For this, as $x_{k-1} \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0} \setminus \{0\}$, it has $q^{t-k}-1$ choices. Further, we note, by Proposition 2.4 of [6], that the dimension of $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ is $t-k-1$. From this, we see that x_k has $q^{t-k}-q^{t-k-1}$ choices. This implies that the number of pairs (x_{k-1}, x_k) such that both the $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ and $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ are non-degenerate, is given by $(q^{t-k}-1)(q^{t-k}-q^{t-k-1})$. On the other hand, by [18, p. 70], we see that the number of hyperbolic pairs in $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ is $H_{\frac{t-k}{2}}, 0 = q^{t-k-1}(q^{t-k}-1)$, which implies that the pair (x_{k-1}, x_k) has $(q^{t-k}-1)(q^{t-k}-q^{t-k-1}) - H_{\frac{t-k}{2}}, 0 = (q^{t-k}-1)(q^{t-k}-q^{t-k-1}) - q^{t-k-1}(q^{t-k}-1)$ relevant choices. Therefore the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ such that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ is a $(k-2)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\rangle$ is a k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ with $\det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) \neq \det \mathfrak{G}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2})$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}} \left\{(q^{t-k}-1)(q^{t-k}-q^{t-k-1}) - q^{t-k-1}(q^{t-k}-1)\right\} \begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-2)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \\ = & q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}} q^{t-k-1}(q^{t-k}-1)(q-2) \begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-2)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

On combining cases **(i)-(iii)**, we see that the total number of k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ with $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$ as linearly independent vectors over $\mathbb{F}_q$, is given by $q^{\frac{k(t-k-2)}{2}}(q^{k+1}-q)(q^{k-2}-1)\begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ k/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}}(q^{t-k}-1)(q^{t-k}-q^{t-k-1}-q)\begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-2)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

Next let $x_{k-1}, x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ be linearly dependent over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In this case, the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is equal to the $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Further, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-2)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now working as in case II of part (a) and noting that each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ gives rise to a distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-2)(t-k)}{2}}(q^{t-k}-1)(q-1)\begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-2)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

Case B. Let k be odd. When $x_{k-1} = x_k = 0$, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1, \alpha\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is degenerate. When $x_{k-1} \neq 0$ and $x_k = 0$, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-1)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now working as in case II of part (a), we see that the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha\rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}(q^{k-1}-1)\begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. When $x_{k-1} = 0$ and $x_k \neq 0$, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is degenerate. Let $x_{k-1}, x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Here by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the $(k-1)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate. Now as $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_1})$ is a symplectic space, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, we see that the number of $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix} (t-2)/2 \\ (k-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Further, we see that each $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ gives rise to precisely $(q^{k-1}-1)$ distinct $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}\rangle$ with $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Now for a given $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}\rangle$ with $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, we need to count all choices for $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ that give rise to distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. For this, as $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ is a non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$, by Proposition 2.9 of [6], we write $\mathcal{V}_1 = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle \perp \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$, where $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ having dimension $t-k-1$. In view of this, each $x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ can be uniquely written as

$x_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} a_\ell x_\ell + \omega$, where $\omega \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$ and $a_\ell \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. Then it is easy to see that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha + x_k\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha + \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} a_\ell x_\ell + \omega\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha + a_{k-1}x_{k-1} + \omega\rangle$, where $\omega \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0}$. This implies that each $x_k = a_{k-1}x_{k-1} + \omega$ with $\omega \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0} \setminus \{0\}$ and $a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ gives rise to a distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Further, we observe that $x_k = a_{k-1}x_{k-1}+\omega \neq 0$. This is because, if $x_k = a_{k-1}x_{k-1}+\omega = 0$, then $\omega = a_{k-1}x_{k-1} \in \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle \perp \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle^{\perp_0} = \{0\}$. This is a contradiction, as x_{k-1}, x_k are linearly independent vectors in $\mathcal{V}_1$. From this, we observe that x_k has precisely $q(q^{t-k-1}-1) = (q^{t-k}-q)$ relevant choices. Therefore when k is odd, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1\setminus\{0\}$, is given by $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}(q^{k-1}-1)(q^{t-k}-q)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Next let $x_{k-1}, x_k \in \mathcal{V}_1\setminus\{0\}$ be linearly dependent over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In this case, the k-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, \alpha+x_k\rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ is equal to the $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Further, by Theorem 5.1.1 of [17], we see that $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ is a k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ if and only if $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}\rangle$ is a $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q\setminus\{0\}$. Now as $(\mathcal{V}_1, (\cdot,\cdot)_0 \restriction_{\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathcal{V}_1})$ is a symplectic space, working similarly as in Proposition 3.3, we see that the number of $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is $q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$. Further, we observe that each $(k-1)$-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ gives rise to precisely $(q^{k-1}-1)(q-1)$ distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ with $x_j \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore when k is odd, the number of distinct k-dimensional non-degenerate $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ of the type $\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{k-2}, 1+x_{k-1}, 1+\lambda\alpha\rangle$ with x_j's in $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, is given by $(q^{k-1}-1)q^{\frac{(k-1)(t-k-1)}{2}}(q-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$.

Now on combining all the above cases, when t is even, for $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, we get

$$N_{0,k} = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{tk-k^2-2}{2}}\left\{(q^k+q-1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\k/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + (q^{t-k+1}-q^{t-k}+1)\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-2)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\right\} & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ q^{\frac{tk-k^2+t-1}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}(t-2)/2\\(k-1)/2\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

3.3 Determination of the number N_h when $h \in \mathfrak{M}$

In the following proposition, we consider the case $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ and enumerate all pairs $\big(\mathcal{C}_h, \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)$ with $\mathcal{C}_h$ as a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ as a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_h \cap \mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ be fixed. For $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, the number of pairs $\big(\mathcal{C}_h, \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)$ with $\mathcal{C}_h$ as a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ as a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_h \cap \mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$, is given by $N_h = 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} q^{kd_h(t-k)}\begin{bmatrix}t\\k\end{bmatrix}_{q^{d_h}}$.*

To prove the above proposition, let $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ be fixed from now onwards. Throughout this section, we shall represent elements of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ as $a(X) + b(X)$, where $a(X) \in \mathcal{J}_h$ and $b(X) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. On similar lines, we shall represent elements of $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$ as $u(X) + v(X)$, where $u(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and $v(X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$. We shall view $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ as a $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-module under the following operations:

$$\big(a(X)+b(X)\big) + \big(c(X)+d(X)\big) = \big(a(X)+c(X)\big) + \big(b(X)+d(X)\big) \quad \text{(addition)} \tag{19}$$

$$\big(u(X)+v(X)\big)\big(a(X)+b(X)\big) = u(X)a(X) + v(X)b(X) \quad \text{(scalar multiplication)} \tag{20}$$

for each $a(X)+b(X),\ c(X)+d(X) \in \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ and $u(X)+v(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$.

Next for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, we observe that

$$\big[a(X)+b(X), c(X)+d(X)\big]_\delta = \big[a(X), d(X)\big]_\delta + \big[b(X), c(X)\big]_\delta \in \mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)} \tag{21}$$

for all $a(X)+b(X),\ c(X)+d(X) \in \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. For each $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, let $\big[\cdot,\cdot\big]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}$ denote the restriction of the form $\big[\cdot,\cdot\big]_\delta$ to $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. Then we make the following observation.

Lemma 3.3. *For each $h \in \mathfrak{M}$, the following hold.*

(a) $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ is a free $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-module of rank t.

(b) For each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, the form $\big[\cdot,\cdot\big]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}$ is reflexive and non-degenerate.

(c) For each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, the form $\big[\cdot,\cdot\big]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}$ is Hermitian when $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$ and is skew-Hermitian when $\delta = \gamma$.

Proof. Proof is trivial. □

It is easy to see that both $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ can be viewed as $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodules of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with respect to operations defined by (19) and (20). From this, we observe that if $\mathcal{C}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, then $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. Further, if $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{C}_h = \ell$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} = r$, then working as in Theorem 6 of Huffman [9], we see that the size of the smallest generating set of $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is $\max\{\ell, r\}$. Further, for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$, denoted by $\big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)^{\perp_\delta}$, is defined as $\big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)^{\perp_\delta} = \{a(X)+b(X) \in \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} : [a(X)+b(X), u(X)+v(X)]_\delta = 0 \text{ for all } u(X)+v(X) \in \mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\}$. It is easy to see that $\big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)^{\perp_\delta}$ is also a $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. Further, the $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ is said to be non-degenerate if the restricted form $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}}$ is non-degenerate, which is equivalent to saying that $\big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big) \cap \big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)^{\perp_\delta} = \{0\}$. The $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ is said to be Hermitian (skew-Hermitian) if the restricted form $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}}$ is Hermitian (skew-Hermitian). We will say that $\big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}, [\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}}\big)$ is a Hermitian (skew-Hermitian) $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-space if $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a non-degenerate and Hermitian (skew-Hermitian) $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. In view of Lemma 3.3, we see that $\big(\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}, [\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}\big)$ is a Hermitian $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-space when $\delta \in \{*, 0\}$ and is a skew-Hermitian $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-space when $\delta = \gamma$.

In the following lemma, we observe that for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, if $\mathcal{C}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_h \cap \mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ and vice versa.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ be fixed. Let $\mathcal{C}_h$ be a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. Then for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, the following hold.*

(a) $\big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)^{\perp_\delta} = \mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)}$.

(b) $\mathcal{C}_h \cap \mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ if and only if $(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}) \cap \big(\mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)}\big) = \{0\}$.

(c) $\mathcal{C}_h \cap \mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ if and only if $(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}) \cap \big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)^{\perp_\delta} = \{0\}$.

Proof. Proof is trivial. □

Next we observe that any $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\mathfrak{N}$ of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ can be written as $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_h \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathfrak{N}_h = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathcal{J}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{\mu(h)} = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. In view of this and Lemma 3.4, we see that for each $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma\}$, the number of pairs $\big(\mathcal{C}_h, \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)$ with $\mathcal{C}_h$ as a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ as a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_h \cap \mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ is equal to the number of non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodules of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}$. Further, we make the following observation.

Remark 3.2. *When $\delta = \gamma$, by Lemma 3.3, we see that $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma$ is a non-degenerate and skew-Hermitian form on $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. In this case, we will first transform the skew-Hermitian form $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma$ to a Hermitian form $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\gamma^{(H)}}$ as follows: Let $\vartheta(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$. Then the corresponding element $\chi(X) = \vartheta(X) - \tau_{1,-1}(\vartheta(X)) \in \mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $\tau_{1,-1}\big(\chi(X)\big) = -\chi(X)$. Now we define the form $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\gamma^{(H)}}$ on $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ as $[\alpha_1(X)+\alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X)+\beta_2(X)]_{\gamma^{(H)}} = \chi(X)\,[\alpha_1(X)+\alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X)+\beta_2(X)]_\gamma$ for all $\alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X)+\beta_2(X) \in \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. It is easy to see that $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\gamma^{(H)}}$ is a non-degenerate and Hermitian form on $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. Further, as $\vartheta(X)$ and $\tau_{1,-1}(\vartheta(X))$ are non-zero elements of $\mathcal{K}_h$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$ respectively, we observe that if two elements in $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ are orthogonal with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma$, then those two elements are also orthogonal with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\gamma^{(H)}}$ and vice versa. This implies that if $\mathcal{C}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, then the dual code $\big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)^{\perp_\gamma}$ of the $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}$ coincides with the dual code $\big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)^{\perp_{\gamma^{(H)}}} = \{a(X)+b(X) \in \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} : [a(X)+b(X), c(X)+d(X)]_{\gamma^{(H)}} = 0$ for all $c(X)+d(X) \in \mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\}$ of $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\gamma^{(H)}}$. Therefore the number of non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodules of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}$ is equal to the number of non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodules of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\gamma^{(H)}}$. In view of this, we will consider the Hermitian and non-degenerate form $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\gamma^{(H)}}$ on $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ in lieu of the skew-Hermitian and non-degenerate form $[\cdot,\cdot]_\gamma \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}$ from now onwards.*

In order to enumerate the number N_h for each $h \in \mathfrak{M}$, we will first prove that if $\mathcal{C}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta$ for $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma^{(H)}\}$, then $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{C}_h = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$. Note that for each $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma^{(H)}\}$, the form $[\cdot,\cdot]_\delta$ is non-degenerate and Hermitian on $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, i.e., $\big(\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}, [\cdot,\cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}\big)$ is a Hermitian $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-space.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma^{(H)}\}$ be fixed. Let $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ be a $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{C}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. If $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is non-degenerate, then the $\mathcal{K}_h$-dimension of $\mathcal{C}_h$ is equal to the $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-dimension of $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$.*

In order to prove this proposition, we need to define the following:

Let $\mathcal{A}_h$ be a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. A non-zero element $a(X) + b(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is said to be isotropic if $[a(X)+b(X), a(X)+b(X)]_\delta = 0$, otherwise $a(X)+b(X)$ is called an anisotropic element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Note that all the non-zero elements of $\mathcal{A}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ are trivially isotropic. An isotropic element $a(X)+b(X)$ of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is said to be non-trivial if both $a(X), b(X)$ are non-zero. We say that two non-trivial isotropic elements $a(X)+b(X)$ and $c(X)+d(X)$ of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ form a hyperbolic pair if $[a(X)+b(X), c(X)+d(X)]_\delta = e_h(X) + e_{\mu(h)}(X)$, where $e_h(X)$ and $e_{\mu(h)}(X)$ are multiplicative identities of $\mathcal{K}_h$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$ respectively. Throughout this section, we will denote the $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ generated by the elements $\alpha_1(X)+\beta_1(X), \alpha_2(X)+\beta_2(X), \cdots, \alpha_m(X)+\beta_m(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ by $\langle \alpha_1(X)+\beta_1(X), \alpha_2(X)+\beta_2(X), \cdots, \alpha_m(X)+\beta_m(X)\rangle$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that $\langle \alpha_1(X)+\beta_1(X), \alpha_2(X)+\beta_2(X), \cdots, \alpha_m(X)+\beta_m(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle \beta_1(X), \beta_2(X), \cdots, \beta_m(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} \oplus \langle \alpha_1(X), \alpha_2(X), \cdots, \alpha_m(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle \beta_1(X), \beta_2(X), \cdots, \beta_m(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \{a(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h : [a(X), \beta_j(X)]_\delta = 0$ for $1 \le j \le m\}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{A}_h$ and $\langle \alpha_1(X), \alpha_2(X), \cdots, \alpha_m(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \{c(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} : [c(X), \alpha_j(X)]_\delta = 0$ for $1 \le j \le m\}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$.

In the following lemma, we will discuss the existence of a non-trivial isotropic element and a hyperbolic pair in a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma^{(H)}\}$ be fixed. Let $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ be a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ having dimension ℓ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ having dimension r. Then we have the following:*

(a) *There does not exist any non-trivial isotropic element in* $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ *when either* $\ell = 1$ *or* $r = 1$.

(b) *There exists a non-trivial isotropic element in* $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ *when both* $\ell, r \geq 2$.

(c) *When both* $\ell, r \geq 2$, *there exists a hyperbolic pair in* $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$.

(d) *The* $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$*-submodule of* $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ *generated by a hyperbolic pair is non-degenerate.*

Proof. (a) To prove this, without any loss of generality, suppose that $r = 1$. Let $\alpha(X) + \beta(X)$ be a non-zero element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\alpha(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h$ and $\beta(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Now $\alpha(X) + \beta(X)$ is an isotropic element if and only if it satisfies $[\alpha(X) + \beta(X), \alpha(X) + \beta(X)]_\delta = 0$, which holds if and only if $[\alpha(X), \beta(X)]_\delta = 0$ and $[\beta(X), \alpha(X)]_\delta = 0$, which is equivalent to saying that $\alpha(X) \in \langle \beta(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ and $\beta(X) \in \langle \alpha(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle \beta(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{A}_h$ and $\langle \alpha(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. We first assert that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \langle \alpha(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = 0$ if $\alpha(X) \neq 0$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \langle \beta(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \ell - 1$ if $\beta(X) \neq 0$. To prove this assertion, we define a map $\Phi_{\alpha(X)} : \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \to \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$ as $\Phi_{\alpha(X)}(r(X)) = [r(X), \alpha(X)]_\delta$ for all $r(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. It is easy to see that $\Phi_{\alpha(X)}$ is a non-zero vector space homomorphism and $\langle \alpha(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is the kernel of the map $\Phi_{\alpha(X)}$. Now by Sylvester's law of nullity, we have $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \langle \alpha(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} - 1 = 0$, which proves the assertion. Working in a similar manner as above, one can show that if $\beta(X) \neq 0$, then $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \langle \beta(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \ell - 1 \geq 0$. Further, we observe that $\langle \alpha(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ if $\alpha(X) = 0$ and $\langle \beta(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \mathcal{A}_h$ if $\beta(X) = 0$. From this, it follows that $\alpha(X) + \beta(X)$ is an isotropic element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ if and only if either $\alpha(X) = 0$ and $\beta(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$ or $\alpha(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \{0\}$ and $\beta(X) = 0$. This shows that there does not exist any non-trivial isotropic element in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ when $r = 1$.

(b) Suppose that both $\ell, r \geq 2$. Let $u(X) + v(X)$ be an element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$, where $u(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \{0\}$ and $v(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$. If $u(X) + v(X)$ is isotropic, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, we have $[u(X) + v(X), u(X) + v(X)]_\delta \neq 0$. By (21), we get $[u(X) + v(X), u(X) + v(X)]_\delta = [u(X), v(X)]_\delta + [v(X), u(X)]_\delta$. Now by Lemma 3.3(v) of Sharma and Kaur [16], Lemma 6(iv)-(v) of Huffman [9] and using Remark 3.2, we see that $[v(X), u(X)]_\delta = \tau_{1,-1}([u(X), v(X)]_\delta)$. This gives $[u(X) + v(X), u(X) + v(X)]_\delta = [u(X), v(X)]_\delta + \tau_{1,-1}([u(X), v(X)]_\delta) = d(X) + \tau_{1,-1}\big(d(X)\big)$, where $d(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$. Now consider the $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\langle u(X) + v(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. It is easy to observe that $\langle u(X) + v(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle v(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} \oplus \langle u(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now working as in part (a), we see that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \langle v(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \ell - 1 \geq 1$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \langle u(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = r - 1 \geq 1$. Using this, we see that there exist non-zero elements $\alpha(X) \in \langle v(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ and $\beta(X) \in \langle u(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, which implies that $\alpha(X) + \beta(X) \in \langle u(X) + v(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now if the element $\alpha(X) + \beta(X)$ is isotropic, then we are through. Otherwise, using (21), we get $[\alpha(X) + \beta(X), \alpha(X) + \beta(X)]_\delta = [\alpha(X), \beta(X)]_\delta + [\beta(X), \alpha(X)]_\delta$. Now by Sharma and Kaur [16, Lemma 3.3(v)], Huffman [9, Lemma 6(iv)] and using Remark 3.2, we see that $[\beta(X), \alpha(X)]_\delta = \tau_{1,-1}([\alpha(X), \beta(X)]_\delta)$. This implies that $[\alpha(X) + \beta(X), \alpha(X) + \beta(X)]_\delta = [\alpha(X), \beta(X)]_\delta + \tau_{1,-1}([\alpha(X), \beta(X)]_\delta) = \eta(X) + \tau_{1,-1}\big(\eta(X)\big)$, where $\eta(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$. Now it is easy to see that $u(X) + v(X) + \big\{-d(X) + \tau_{1,-1}\big(\eta(X)^{-1}\big)\big\}\big(\alpha(X) + \beta(X)\big)$ is a non-trivial isotropic element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$.

(c) As both $\ell, r \geq 2$, by part (b), we see that $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ has a non-trivial isotropic element, say $a(X) + b(X)$. Further, we have $\langle a(X) + b(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle b(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} \oplus \langle a(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Working as in part (a), we see that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \langle b(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \ell - 1 \geq 1$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \langle a(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = r - 1 \geq 1$. Now we choose a non-zero element $c(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \langle b(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ and $d(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \langle a(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Then $[a(X) + b(X), c(X) + d(X)]_\delta = \ell_1(X) + \ell_2(X)$, where $\ell_1(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$ and $\ell_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$. This gives $\big[a(X) + b(X), \tau_{1,-1}\big(\ell_2(X)^{-1}\big)c(X) + \tau_{1,-1}\big(\ell_1(X)^{-1}\big)d(X)\big]_\delta = e_h(X) + e_{\mu(h)}(X)$. Therefore, without any loss of generality, we assume that $c(X) + d(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is such that $[a(X) + b(X), c(X) + d(X)]_\delta = e_h(X) + e_{\mu(h)}(X)$.
If $c(X) + d(X)$ is an isotropic element, then we are done. Otherwise, using (21), we have $[c(X) +$

$d(X), c(X)+d(X)]_\delta = [c(X), d(X)]_\delta + [d(X), c(X)]_\delta$. Now by Sharma and Kaur [16, Lemma 3.3(v)], Huffman [9, Lemma 6(iv)] and using Remark 3.2, we see that $[d(X), c(X)]_\delta = \tau_{1,-1}([c(X), d(X)]_\delta)$. This implies that $[c(X)+d(X), c(X)+d(X)]_\delta = [c(X), d(X)]_\delta + \tau_{1,-1}([c(X), d(X)]_\delta) = w(X) + \tau_{1,-1}\big(w(X)\big)$, where $w(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$. Now it is easy to see that $c(X)+d(X)-w(X)\big(a(X)+b(X)\big) = c(X)+d(X)-w(X)a(X)$ is a non-trivial isotropic element in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. On the other hand, we observe that $[a(X)+b(X), c(X)+d(X)-w(X)a(X)]_\delta = [a(X), d(X)]_\delta + [b(X), c(X)-w(X)a(X)]_\delta = e_h(X) + e_{\mu(h)}(X)$, which implies that $a(X)+b(X)$ and $c(X)+d(X)-w(X)a(X)$ form a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$.

(d) Proof is trivial. □

The following lemma is quite useful in writing an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma^{(H)}\}$ be fixed. Let $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ be a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ and $\mathcal{W}_h \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)}$ be a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_j$ is a $\mathcal{K}_j$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_j$ and $\mathcal{W}_j$ is a $\mathcal{K}_j$-subspace of $\mathcal{A}_j$ for each $j \in \{h, \mu(h)\}$. Then $(\mathcal{W}_h \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)})^{\perp_\delta} = \{a(X)+b(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} : [a(X)+b(X), c(X)+d(X)]_\delta = 0$ for all $c(X)+d(X) \in \mathcal{W}_h \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)}\}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ and*

$$\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = (\mathcal{W}_h \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)}) \perp (\mathcal{W}_h \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)})^{\perp_\delta}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1.1 of Knebusch et al. [13]. □

In the following lemma, we write an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $h \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma^{(H)}\}$ be fixed. Let $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ be a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with $dim_{\mathcal{K}_h}\mathcal{A}_h = \ell > 0$ and $dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}}\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = r > 0$. Then the following hold:*

(a) When r is even and $\ell \geq r$, we have $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle m_2(X)+n_2(X), p_2(X)+q_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle m_{\frac{r}{2}}(X)+n_{\frac{r}{2}}(X), p_{\frac{r}{2}}(X)+q_{\frac{r}{2}}(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \theta_{\ell-r}(X)\rangle$, where $\big(m_j(X)+n_j(X), p_j(X)+q_j(X)\big)$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \frac{r}{2}$ and $\{\theta_1(X), \theta_2(X), \cdots, \theta_{\ell-r}(X)\}$ is a basis of the $(\ell-r)$-dimensional $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace $\langle n_1(X), q_1(X), \cdots, n_{\frac{r}{2}}(X), q_{\frac{r}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{A}_h$.

(b) When r is odd and $\ell \geq r$, we have $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle m_2(X)+n_2(X), p_2(X)+q_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle m_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X)+n_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X), p_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X)+q_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X)\rangle \perp \langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \theta_{\ell-r}(X)\rangle$, where $\big(m_j(X)+n_j(X), p_j(X)+q_j(X)\big)$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \frac{r-1}{2}$, $\alpha(X)+\beta(X)$ is an anisotropic element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ and $\{\theta_1(X), \theta_2(X), \cdots, \theta_{\ell-r}(X)\}$ is a basis of the $(\ell-r)$-dimensional $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace $\langle n_1(X), q_1(X), \cdots, n_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X), q_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X), \beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{A}_h$.

(c) When ℓ is even and $r \geq \ell$, we have $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle m_2(X)+n_2(X), p_2(X)+q_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle m_{\frac{\ell}{2}}(X)+n_{\frac{\ell}{2}}(X), p_{\frac{\ell}{2}}(X)+q_{\frac{\ell}{2}}(X)\rangle \perp \langle \eta_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \eta_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \eta_{r-\ell}(X)\rangle$, where $\big(m_j(X)+n_j(X), p_j(X)+q_j(X)\big)$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \frac{\ell}{2}$ and $\{\eta_1(X), \eta_2(X), \cdots, \eta_{r-\ell}(X)\}$ is a basis of the $(r-\ell)$-dimensional $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace $\langle m_1(X), p_1(X), \cdots, m_{\frac{\ell}{2}}(X), p_{\frac{\ell}{2}}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$.

(d) When ℓ is odd and $r \geq \ell$, we have $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle m_2(X)+n_2(X), p_2(X)+q_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle m_{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(X)+n_{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(X), p_{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(X)+q_{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(X)\rangle \perp \langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle \perp$

$\langle \eta_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \eta_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \eta_{r-\ell}(X)\rangle$, *where* $\big(m_j(X)+n_j(X), p_j(X)+q_j(X)\big)$ *is a hyperbolic pair in* $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq \frac{\ell-1}{2}$, $\alpha(X)+\beta(X)$ *is an anisotropic element of* $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ *and* $\{\eta_1(X), \eta_2(X), \cdots, \eta_{r-\ell}(X)\}$ *is a basis of the* $(r-\ell)$*-dimensional* $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$*-subspace* $\langle m_1(X), p_1(X), \cdots, m_{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(X), p_{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(X), \alpha(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ *of* $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$.

Proof. (a) Suppose that r is even and $\ell \geq r$. Let us write $r = 2r_1$, where $r_1 \geq 1$ is an integer. In order to prove (a), we will apply induction on r_1.

First let $r_1 = 1$. In this case, by Lemma 3.5(c) and (d), we see that $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ has a hyperbolic pair, say $(m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X))$, and the $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle$ of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is non-degenerate. Now by Lemma 3.6, we have $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Further, it is easy to see that $\langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle n_1(X), q_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} \oplus \langle m_1(X), p_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. We next observe that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h}\langle n_1(X), q_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \ell - 2$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}}\langle m_1(X), p_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = 0$. This implies that $\langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle n_1(X), q_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now let $\{\theta_1(X), \theta_2(X), \cdots, \theta_{\ell-2}(X)\}$ be a basis of the $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace $\langle n_1(X), q_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{A}_h$. It is clear that $[\theta_{j_1}(X), \theta_{j_2}(X)]_\delta = 0$ for all $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq \ell-2$. From this, we obtain $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \theta_{\ell-2}(X)\rangle$. Thus the result holds for $r_1 = 1$.

Next let $r_1 > 1$ and suppose that the result holds for every non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of the type $\mathcal{W}_h \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{W}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}}\mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)} = 2(r_1-1)$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h}\mathcal{W}_h \geq 2(r_1-1)$.

We will now prove the result for a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}}\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = 2r_1$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h}\mathcal{A}_h = \ell \geq 2r_1$. By Lemma 3.5(c) and (d), we see that $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ has a hyperbolic pair, say $(\widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X))$ and the $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\langle \widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X)\rangle$ of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is non-degenerate. Now by Lemma 3.6, we have $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle \widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X)\rangle \perp \langle \widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle \widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Further, we see that $\langle \widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle \widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{q}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} \oplus \langle \widetilde{m}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. It is easy to observe that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h}\langle \widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{q}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \ell-2$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}}\langle \widetilde{m}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = 2r_1-2$. Now by applying induction hypothesis, we have $\langle \widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle \widetilde{m}_1(X)+\widetilde{n}_1(X), \widetilde{p}_1(X)+\widetilde{q}_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \widetilde{m}_2(X)+\widetilde{n}_2(X), \widetilde{p}_2(X)+\widetilde{q}_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \widetilde{m}_{r_1-1}(X)+\widetilde{n}_{r_1-1}(X), \widetilde{p}_{r_1-1}(X)+\widetilde{q}_{r_1-1}(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \theta_{\ell-2r_1}(X)\rangle$, where $\big(\widetilde{m}_j(X)+\widetilde{n}_j(X), \widetilde{p}_j(X)+\widetilde{q}_j(X)\big)$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\langle \widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r_1-1$ and $\{\theta_1(X), \theta_2(X), \cdots, \theta_{\ell-2r_1}(X)\}$ is a basis of the $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace $\langle \widetilde{n}_1(X), \widetilde{q}_1(X), \cdots, \widetilde{n}_{r_1-1}(X), \widetilde{q}_{r_1-1}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\langle \widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{q}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. From this, we obtain $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle \widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X)\rangle \perp \langle \widetilde{m}_1(X)+\widetilde{n}_1(X), \widetilde{p}_1(X)+\widetilde{q}_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \widetilde{m}_2(X)+\widetilde{n}_2(X), \widetilde{p}_2(X)+\widetilde{q}_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \widetilde{m}_{r_1-1}(X)+\widetilde{n}_{r_1-1}(X), \widetilde{p}_{r_1-1}(X)+\widetilde{q}_{r_1-1}(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \theta_{\ell-2r_1}(X)\rangle$, where $(\widetilde{m}(X)+\widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{p}(X)+\widetilde{q}(X))$, $\big(\widetilde{m}_j(X)+\widetilde{n}_j(X), \widetilde{p}_j(X)+\widetilde{q}_j(X)\big)$ are hyperbolic pairs in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r_1-1$ and $\{\theta_1(X), \theta_2(X), \cdots, \theta_{\ell-2r_1}(X)\}$ is a basis of the $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace $\langle \widetilde{n}(X), \widetilde{q}(X), \widetilde{n}_1(X), \widetilde{q}_1(X), \cdots, \widetilde{n}_{r_1-1}(X), \widetilde{q}_{r_1-1}(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ of $\mathcal{A}_h$. This proves (a).

(b) Suppose that r is odd and $\ell \geq r$. Let us write $r = 2r_1+1$, where $r_1 \geq 0$ is an integer. Here we will apply induction on $r_1 \geq 0$.

We first suppose that $r_1 = 0$ so that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}}\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = 1$. Here we assert that there exists an anisotropic element in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. To prove this, we choose $\beta(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$. It is easy to see that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h}\langle \beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \ell - 1$. From this, we see that there exists $\alpha(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \langle \beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ so that $[\alpha(X), \beta(X)]_\delta = a(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$. This gives $[\alpha(X)+\beta(X), \alpha(X)+\beta(X)]_\delta = a(X) + \tau_{1,-1}(a(X))$,

i.e., $\alpha(X)+\beta(X)$ is an anisotropic element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Further, it is easy to observe that $\langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. By Lemma 3.6, we get $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle \perp \langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Next we observe that $\langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle \beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} \oplus \langle \alpha(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Working as in Lemma 3.5(a), we see that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \langle \beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \ell - 1$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \langle \alpha(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = 0$. This implies that $\langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle \beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{A}_h$ and suppose that $\{\theta_1(X), \theta_2(X), \cdots, \theta_{\ell-1}(X)\}$ is its basis. As $[\theta_{j_1}(X), \theta_{j_2}(X)]_\delta = 0$ for all $1 \le j_1, j_2 \le \ell - 1$, we have $\langle \beta(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle \theta_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \theta_{\ell-1}(X)\rangle$. From this, we obtain $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_1(X)\rangle \perp \langle \theta_2(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \theta_{\ell-1}(X)\rangle$. Thus the result holds when $r_1 = 0$.

When $r_1 \ge 1$, working in a similar way as in part (a) and using Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, part (b) follows.

(c) Working in a similar manner as in part (a), part (c) follows.

(d) Working in a similar manner as in part (b), part (d) follows. □

Proof of Proposition 3.7. It follows immediately from Lemma 3.7 and using the fact that $(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}) \cap \big(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)^{\perp_\delta} = \{0\}$. □

Remark 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ be a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$. Then in view of Proposition 3.7, we must have $dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{A}_h = dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = r$. Further, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a free $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ having rank r. When r is even, by Lemma 3.7(a), we see that $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ has an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of the type $\langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle m_{\frac{r}{2}}(X)+n_{\frac{r}{2}}(X), p_{\frac{r}{2}}(X)+q_{\frac{r}{2}}(X)\rangle$, where $(m_j(X)+n_j(X), p_j(X)+q_j(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ for $1 \le j \le \frac{r}{2}$. When r is odd, by Lemma 3.7(b), we see that $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ has an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of the type $\langle m_1(X)+n_1(X), p_1(X)+q_1(X)\rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle m_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X)+n_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X), p_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X)+q_{\frac{r-1}{2}}(X)\rangle \perp \langle \alpha(X)+\beta(X)\rangle$, where $(m_j(X)+n_j(X), p_j(X)+q_j(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ for $1 \le j \le \frac{r-1}{2}$ and $\alpha(X)+\beta(X)$ is an anisotropic element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}_h \cup \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$ is the set consisting of all the trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. From this, it follows that the number of trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $2(q^{rd_h} - 1)$. When $r = 1$, by Lemma 3.5(a), we see that there does not exist any non-trivial isotropic element in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$.*

In the following proposition, we determine the number of non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ when $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{A}_h = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = r \ge 2$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ be a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with $dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{A}_h = dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = r \ge 2$. Then the number of non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $i_r = (q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1)(q^{rd_h} - 1)$.*

In order to prove this proposition, we need to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ be a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with $dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{A}_h = dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = 2$. Then the number of non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $(q^{2d_h} - 1)(q^{d_h} - 1)$.*

Proof. Since $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{A}_h = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = 2$, by Lemma 3.7(a), we have $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle \alpha_1(X)+\alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X)\rangle$, where $(\alpha_1(X)+\alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X)+\beta_2(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. From this, we get $[\alpha_1(X), \beta_2(X)]_\delta = e_h(X)$, $[\alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X)]_\delta = e_{\mu(h)}(X)$, $[\alpha_1(X), \alpha_2(X)]_\delta = 0$ and $[\beta_1(X), \beta_2(X)]_\delta = 0$. Now any element $h_1(X) + h_2(X)$ in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ with $h_1(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \{0\}$ and $h_2(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$ can be written as $h_1(X)+h_2(X) = \big(k_1(X)+k_2(X)\big)\big(\alpha_1(X)+\alpha_2(X)\big) + \big(\ell_1(X)+\ell_2(X)\big)\big(\beta_1(X)+\beta_2(X)\big)$, where

$k_1(X)+k_2(X), \ell_1(X)+\ell_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$. From this, we obtain $h_1(X) = k_1(X)\alpha_1(X)+\ell_1(X)\beta_1(X)$ and $h_2(X) = k_2(X)\alpha_2(X) + \ell_2(X)\beta_2(X)$. Next we observe that $h_1(X) = k_1(X)\alpha_1(X) + \ell_1(X)\beta_1(X) \neq 0$ if and only if $(k_1(X), \ell_1(X)) \neq (0,0)$ and $h_2(X) = k_2(X)\alpha_2(X) + \ell_2(X)\beta_2(X) \neq 0$ if and only if $(k_2(X), \ell_2(X)) \neq (0,0)$.

Now in order to count all the non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$, we will consider the following three cases separately: **I.** $k_1(X) + k_2(X) = 0$ **II.** $\ell_1(X) + \ell_2(X) = 0$ and **III.** both $k_1(X) + k_2(X)$ and $\ell_1(X) + \ell_2(X)$ are non-zero.

Case I. Let $k_1(X) + k_2(X) = 0$, that is, $k_1(X) = 0$ and $k_2(X) = 0$. Then we have $\ell_1(X) \neq 0$ and $\ell_2(X) \neq 0$. This gives $h_1(X) + h_2(X) = (\ell_1(X) + \ell_2(X))(\beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X))$, which is clearly a non-trivial isotropic element for all $\ell_1(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$ and $\ell_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$. So in this case, there are precisely $(q^{d_h} - 1)^2$ such non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$.

Case II. Let $\ell_1(X) + \ell_2(X) = 0$. Then we have $k_1(X) \neq 0$ and $k_2(X) \neq 0$, so that $h_1(X) + h_2(X) = \big(k_1(X)+k_2(X)\big)\big(\alpha_1(X)+\alpha_2(X)\big)$, which is clearly a non-trivial isotropic element for all $k_1(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$ and $k_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$. In this case also, there are precisely $(q^{d_h} - 1)^2$ such non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$.

Case III. Let $k_1(X)+k_2(X) \neq 0$ and $\ell_1(X)+\ell_2(X) \neq 0$. Then we see that $h_1(X)+h_2(X)$ is an isotropic element if and only if $[\big(k_1(X) + k_2(X)\big)\big(\alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X)\big) + \big(\ell_1(X) + \ell_2(X)\big)\big(\beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X)\big), \big(k_1(X) + k_2(X)\big)\big(\alpha_1(X)+\alpha_2(X)\big)+\big(\ell_1(X)+\ell_2(X)\big)\big(\beta_1(X)+\beta_2(X)\big)]_\delta = 0$ if and only if $\big(k_1(X)+k_2(X)\big)\tau_{1,-1}\big(\ell_1(X)+\ell_2(X)\big) + \big(\ell_1(X) + \ell_2(X)\big)\tau_{1,-1}\big(k_1(X) + k_2(X)\big) = 0$ if and only if

$$k_1(X)\tau_{1,-1}(\ell_2(X)) + \ell_1(X)\tau_{1,-1}(k_2(X)) = 0. \tag{22}$$

Now if $k_1(X) = 0$ and $k_2(X) \neq 0$, then $\ell_1(X) \neq 0$ and $\ell_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$. In this case, the condition (22) is not satisfied, which implies that $h_1(X) + h_2(X)$ is an anisotropic element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Next if $k_2(X) = 0$ and $k_1(X) \neq 0$, then $\ell_2(X) \neq 0$ and $\ell_1(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h$. Here also, the condition (22) is not satisfied, which implies that $h_1(X) + h_2(X)$ is an anisotropic element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Working in a similar way, we see that the element $h_1(X) + h_2(X)$ is anisotropic when either $\ell_1(X)$ or $\ell_2(X)$ (but not both) is zero. Finally, we assume that $k_1(X), k_2(X), \ell_1(X), \ell_2(X)$ all are non-zero. Then by equation (22), we obtain $k_1(X) = -\ell_1(X)\tau_{1,-1}(k_2(X)\ell_2(X)^{-1})$, which implies that the number of such non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $(q^{d_h} - 1)^3$.

On combining all the above cases, we see that the total number of non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $2(q^{d_h} - 1)^2 + (q^{d_h} - 1)^3 = (q^{d_h} - 1)(q^{2d_h} - 1)$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.8. In order to prove this proposition, we will apply induction on $r = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{A}_h = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \geq 2$. When $r = 2$, by Lemma 3.8, the result holds. Now we assume that $r > 2$ and suppose that the result holds for all $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodules $\mathcal{W}_h \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)}$ of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{W}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with $2 \leq \dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{W}_h = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{W}_{\mu(h)} \leq r - 1$. Now let $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ be a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{A}_h = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = r$.

First of all, we assert that there exists an anisotropic element in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. For this, we choose $w_2(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$. Working as in Lemma 3.5(a), we see that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \langle w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = r - 1$. Thus there exists $w_1(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \langle w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ so that $[w_1(X), w_2(X)]_\delta = a(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$. This gives $[w_1(X) + w_2(X), w_1(X) + w_2(X)]_\delta = a(X) + \tau_{1,-1}(a(X))$, i.e., $w_1(X) + w_2(X)$ is an anisotropic element of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Now as $\langle w_1(X) + w_2(X)\rangle$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$, by Lemma 3.6, we have $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle w_1(X) + w_2(X)\rangle \perp \langle w_1(X) + w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. We observe that $\langle w_1(X) + w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} \oplus \langle w_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Working as in Lemma 3.5(a), we get $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \langle w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \langle w_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} = r - 1$. This implies that $\langle w_1(X) + w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ having rank $r - 1$.

Now any element $h_1(X)+h_2(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ with $h_1(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \{0\}$ and $h_2(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$ can be uniquely written as $h_1(X)+h_2(X) = \big(\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)\big)\big(w_1(X)+w_2(X)\big) + \big(v_1(X)+v_2(X)\big)$, where $\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$ and $v_1(X)+v_2(X) \in \langle w_1(X)+w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ with $v_1(X) \in \langle w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ and $v_2(X) \in \langle w_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. We next observe that $h_1(X) = \lambda_1(X)w_1(X)+v_1(X) \neq 0$ if and only if $\big(\lambda_1(X), v_1(X)\big) \neq (0,0)$, while $h_2(X) = \lambda_2(X)w_2(X)+v_2(X) \neq 0$ if and only if $\big(\lambda_2(X), v_2(X)\big) \neq (0,0)$. Now in order to count all non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$, we shall distinguish the following two cases: **I.** $\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X) = 0$ and **II.** $\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X) \neq 0$.

Case I. Let $\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X) = 0$. Then we must have $v_1(X) \neq 0$ and $v_2(X) \neq 0$. So $h_1(X)+h_2(X) = v_1(X)+v_2(X) \in \langle w_1(X)+w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, which is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ having rank $r-1$. Now by applying induction hypothesis, we see that the number of such non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by i_{r-1}.

Case II. Let $\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X) \neq 0$.

If $\lambda_1(X) = 0$, then $\lambda_2(X) \neq 0$, $v_1(X) \neq 0$ and $v_2(X) \in \langle w_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now if $v_2(X) = 0$, then we see that $h_1(X)+h_2(X) = \lambda_2(X)w_2(X)+v_1(X)$ and clearly $[\lambda_2(X)w_2(X)+v_1(X), \lambda_2(X)w_2(X)+v_1(X)]_\delta = 0$, which implies that $h_1(X)+h_2(X)$ is a non-trivial isotropic element for all $\lambda_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$ and $v_1(X) \in \langle w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} \setminus \{0\}$. In this case, there are precisely $q^{(r-1)d_h}-1$ choices for $v_1(X)$ and $q^{d_h}-1$ choices for $\lambda_2(X)$. So there are precisely $(q^{(r-1)d_h}-1)(q^{d_h}-1)$ such non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. On the other hand, if $v_2(X) \neq 0$, then for all $\lambda_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$, we see that $h_1(X)+h_2(X) = v_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)w_2(X)+v_2(X)$ is an isotropic element if and only if $[v_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)w_2(X)+v_2(X), v_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)w_2(X)+v_2(X)]_\delta = [v_1(X)+v_2(X), v_1(X)+v_2(X)]_\delta = 0$ if and only if $v_1(X)+v_2(X)$ is a non-trivial isotropic element in $\langle w_1(X)+w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now $\lambda_2(X)$ has $q^{d_h}-1$ choices and by the induction hypothesis, the number of choices for a non-trivial isotropic element $v_1(X)+v_2(X) \in \langle w_1(X)+w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is given by i_{r-1}. Thus in this case, the number of non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $(q^{d_h}-1)i_{r-1}$.

Next suppose that $\lambda_2(X) = 0$ so that $\lambda_1(X) \neq 0$. Then $v_2(X) \neq 0$ and $v_1(X) \in \langle w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now if $v_1(X) = 0$, then we see that $h_1(X)+h_2(X) = \lambda_1(X)w_1(X)+v_2(X)$, which is clearly a non-trivial isotropic element for all $\lambda_1(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$ and $v_2(X) \in \langle w_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta} \setminus \{0\}$. So the number of such non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $(q^{(r-1)d_h}-1)(q^{d_h}-1)$. If $v_1(X) \neq 0$, then $h_1(X)+h_2(X) = \lambda_1(X)w_1(X)+v_1(X)+v_2(X)$ is an isotropic element if and only if $[v_1(X)+\lambda_1(X)w_1(X)+v_2(X), v_1(X)+\lambda_1(X)w_1(X)+v_2(X)]_\delta = [v_1(X)+v_2(X), v_1(X)+v_2(X)]_\delta = 0$ if and only if $v_1(X)+v_2(X)$ is a non-trivial isotropic element of $\langle w_1(X)+w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now $\lambda_1(X)$ has $q^{d_h}-1$ choices and by the induction hypothesis, there are precisely i_{r-1} distinct non-trivial isotropic elements in $\langle w_1(X)+w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. So in this case, the number of such non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $(q^{d_h}-1)i_{r-1}$.

Further, suppose that both $\lambda_1(X), \lambda_2(X)$ are non-zero. Then $v_1(X) \in \langle w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ and $v_2(X) \in \langle w_1(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Now $h_1(X)+h_2(X)$ is an isotropic element if and only if $[\big(\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)\big)\big(w_1(X)+w_2(X)\big) + \big(v_1(X)+v_2(X)\big), \big(\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)\big)\big(w_1(X)+w_2(X)\big) + \big(v_1(X)+v_2(X)\big)]_\delta = 0$ if and only if $\big(\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)\big)\tau_{1,-1}\big(\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)\big)\big(a(X)+\tau_{1,-1}\big(a(X)\big)\big) = -[v_1(X)+v_2(X), v_1(X)+v_2(X)]_\delta$ if and only if

$$\lambda_1(X)\tau_{1,-1}(\lambda_2(X))a(X) = -[v_1(X), v_2(X)]_\delta . \tag{23}$$

We observe that when both $v_1(X), v_2(X)$ are zero, we have $h_1(X)+h_2(X) = \big(\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)\big)\big(w_1(X)+w_2(X)\big)$, which is clearly an anisotropic element. If either $v_1(X)$ or $v_2(X)$ (but not both) is non-zero, then $h_1(X)+h_2(X) = \big(\lambda_1(X)+\lambda_2(X)\big)\big(w_1(X)+w_2(X)\big)+v_j(X)$ with $v_j(X) \neq 0$ for some $j \in \{1,2\}$. Then by (23), we see that $h_1(X)+h_2(X)$ is an isotropic element if and only if $\lambda_1(X)\tau_{1,-1}(\lambda_2(X))a(X) = 0$, which is not possible, and hence $h_1(X)+h_2(X)$ is an anisotropic element. Now if both $v_1(X), v_2(X)$ are non-zero, then by (23), $h_1(X)+h_2(X)$ is an isotropic element if and only if $\lambda_1(X)\tau_{1,-1}(\lambda_2(X))a(X) = -[v_1(X), v_2(X)]_\delta$. We observe that as $\lambda_1(X), \lambda_2(X)$ and $a(X)$ all are non-zero, we must have $[v_1(X), v_2(X)]_\delta \neq 0$, which implies that $v_1(X)+v_2(X)$ is an anisotropic element of $\langle w_1(X)+w_2(X)\rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. We also note that

$\lambda_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$ is determined uniquely by $\lambda_1(X)$ and $[v_1(X), v_2(X)]_\delta$. We see that $\lambda_1(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \setminus \{0\}$ has $q^{d_h} - 1$ choices and by the induction hypothesis, the number of anisotropic elements in $\langle w_1(X) + w_2(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is given by $(q^{2d_h(r-1)} - 1) - 2(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1) - i_{r-1} = (q^{2d_h(r-1)} - 1) - 2(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1) - (q^{(r-2)d_h} - 1)(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1) = q^{(r-2)d_h}(q^{d_h} - 1)(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1)$. Thus the number of such non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $q^{(r-2)d_h}(q^{d_h} - 1)^2(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1)$.

On combining all the above cases, we see that the total number of non-trivial isotropic elements in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $i_r = i_{r-1} + 2(q^{d_h} - 1)(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1) + 2(q^{d_h} - 1)i_{r-1} + q^{(r-2)d_h}(q^{d_h} - 1)^2(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1)$, which equals $(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1)(q^{rd_h} - 1)$ after a simple computation. □

In the following proposition, we determine the number of hyperbolic pairs in a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{A}_h = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = r \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ be a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ with $dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{A}_h = dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = r \geq 2$. Then the total number of hyperbolic pairs in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $\mathfrak{H}_r = q^{d_h(2r-3)}(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1)(q^{rd_h} - 1)$.*

Proof. Since $r \geq 2$, by Lemma 3.5(a), $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ has a non-trivial isotropic element, say $\alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X)$. By Proposition 3.8, the number of choices for $\alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X)$ is given by $i_r = (q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1)(q^{rd_h} - 1)$. Further by Lemma 3.5(c) and (d), there exists another non-trivial isotropic element $\beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X)$ in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ such that $(\alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X))$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ and the $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule $\langle \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X) \rangle$ of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is non-degenerate. Now by Lemma 3.6, we can write $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} = \langle \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X) \rangle \perp \langle \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\langle \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Further, we observe that $\langle \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \langle \alpha_2(X), \beta_2(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} \oplus \langle \alpha_1(X), \beta_1(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$, where $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \langle \alpha_2(X), \beta_2(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \langle \alpha_1(X), \beta_1(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta} = r - 2$. So any element $h_1(X) + h_2(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ with $h_1(X) \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \{0\}$ and $h_2(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)} \setminus \{0\}$ can be written as $h_1(X) + h_2(X) = \big(u_1(X) + u_2(X)\big)\big(\alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X)\big) + \big(v_1(X) + v_2(X)\big)\big(\beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X)\big) + \big(\eta_1(X) + \eta_2(X)\big)$, where $u_1(X) + u_2(X)$, $v_1(X) + v_2(X) \in \mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$ and $\eta_1(X) + \eta_2(X) \in \langle \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Note that $\big(h_1(X) + h_2(X), \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X)\big)$ is a hyperbolic pair if and only if $[h_1(X) + h_2(X), \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X)]_\delta = e_h(X) + e_{\mu(h)}(X)$ and $[h_1(X) + h_2(X), h_1(X) + h_2(X)]_\delta = 0$. Now $[h_1(X) + h_2(X), \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X)]_\delta = e_h(X) + e_{\mu(h)}(X)$ if and only if $v_1(X) = e_h(X)$ and $v_2(X) = e_{\mu(h)}(X)$, which gives $h_1(X) + h_2(X) = \big(u_1(X) + u_2(X)\big)\big(\alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X)\big) + \big(\beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X)\big) + \big(\eta_1(X) + \eta_2(X)\big)$. We also observe that both $h_1(X) = u_1(X)\alpha_1(X) + \beta_1(X) + \eta_1(X)$ and $h_2(X) = u_2(X)\alpha_2(X) + \beta_2(X) + \eta_2(X)$ are non-zero. Further, we see that $[h_1(X) + h_2(X), h_1(X) + h_2(X)]_\delta = 0$ if and only if $u_1(X) + u_2(X) + \tau_{1,-1}\big(u_1(X) + u_2(X)\big) + [\eta_1(X) + \eta_2(X), \eta_1(X) + \eta_2(X)]_\delta = 0$ if and only if $u_1(X) + \tau_{1,-1}\big(u_2(X)\big) = -[\eta_1(X), \eta_2(X)]_\delta$. Now we observe that $u_1(X)$ is determined uniquely by $u_2(X)$ and $\eta_1(X) + \eta_2(X) \in \langle \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), \beta_1(X) + \beta_2(X) \rangle^{\perp_\delta}$. Further, it is easy to see that each of $\eta_1(X)$ and $\eta_2(X)$ has $q^{(r-2)d_h}$ choices and $u_2(X)$ has q^{d_h} choices. From this, it follows that the element $h_1(X) + h_2(X)$ has $q^{(2r-3)d_h}$ choices for $(\alpha_1(X) + \alpha_2(X), h_1(X) + h_2(X))$ to be a hyperbolic pair in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$. Therefore the total number of hyperbolic pairs in $\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mu(h)}$ is given by $\mathfrak{H}_r = q^{d_h(2r-3)} i_r$, which equals $q^{d_h(2r-3)}(q^{(r-1)d_h} - 1)(q^{rd_h} - 1)$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.6. In view of Remark 3.2, we need to consider $\delta \in \{*, 0, \gamma^{(H)}\}$ so that $\big(\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\delta \restriction_{\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)} \times \mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}}\big)$ is a Hermitian $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-space having rank t.

Now to prove this proposition, for each integer k $(0 \leq k \leq t)$, let $N_{h,k}$ denote the number of pairs $\big(\mathcal{C}_h, \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\big)$ with $\mathcal{C}_h$ as a k-dimensional $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ as a k-dimensional $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_h \cap \mathcal{C}_h^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}^{(\delta)} = \{0\}$. Then we have $N_h = \sum_{k=0}^{t} N_{h,k}$.

To begin with, we note, by Lemma 3.4(c), that for $0 \leq k \leq t$, the number $N_{h,k}$ is equal to the number of $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodules $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ satisfying $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{C}_h = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} = k$ and $(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}) \cap \left(\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}\right)^{\perp_\delta} = \{0\}$, that is, $N_{h,k}$ is equal to the number of non-degenerate $\mathcal{K}_h \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-submodules $\mathcal{C}_h \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ of $\mathcal{J}_h \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$ satisfying $\dim_{\mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{C}_h = \dim_{\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}} \mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)} = k$, where $\mathcal{C}_h$ is a $\mathcal{K}_h$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_h$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu(h)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_{\mu(h)}$-subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\mu(h)}$.

Here by Lemma 3.3, we see that $N_{h,0} = N_{h,t} = 1$. Further, for any integer k satisfying $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, using Remark 3.3, Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 and working in a similar manner as in Proposition 3.2, we see that $N_{h,k} = q^{kd_h(t-k)} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{d_h}}$ for $1 \leq k \leq t-1$. From this, the desired result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. It follows immediately from Propositions 3.1-3.6. □

References

[1] Calderbank, A. R., Rains, E. M., Shor, P. M. and Sloane, N. J. A.: Quantum error correction via codes over GF(4), *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 44(4), pp. 1369-1387 (1998).

[2] Conway, J.H., Pless, V. and Sloane, N.J.A.: The binary self-dual codes of length up to 32: A Revised Enumeration, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 60, pp. 183-195 (1992).

[3] Drees, C., Epkenhans, M. and Krüskemper, M.: On the computation of the trace form of some galois extensions, *J. Algebra* 192, pp. 209-234 (1997).

[4] Elman, R., Karpenko, N. and Merkurjev, A.: *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, American Mathematical Society (2008).

[5] Ezerman, M. F., Jitman, S., Ling, S. and Pasechnik, D. V.: CSS-like constructions of asymmetric quantum codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 59(10), pp. 6732-6754 (2013).

[6] Grove, L.C.: *Classical Groups and Geometric Algebra*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (2008).

[7] Huffman, W. C.: Additive cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_4$, *Adv. Math. Commun.* 1(4), pp. 429-461 (2007).

[8] Huffman, W. C.: Additive cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_4$ of even length, *Adv. Math. Commun.* 2(3), pp. 309-343 (2008).

[9] Huffman, W. C.: Cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes, *Int. J. Inform. Coding Th.* 1(3), pp. 249-284 (2010).

[10] Huffman, W.C.: On the classification and enumeration of self-dual codes, *Finite Fields Appl.* 11, pp. 451-490 (2005).

[11] Huffman, W.C. and Pless, V.: *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2003).

[12] Jia, Y., Ling, S. and Xing, C.: On self-dual cyclic codes over finite fields, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 57(4), pp. 2243-2251 (2011).

[13] Knebusch, M., Rosenberg, A. and Ware, S.: Structure of witt rings and quotients of abelian group rings, *Amer. J. Math.* 94, pp. 119-155 (1972).

[14] Lidl, R. and Niederreiter, H.: *Introduction to Finite Fields and their Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).

[15] Pless, V. and Sloane, N.J.A.: On the classification and enumeration of self-dual codes, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 18, pp. 313-335 (1975).

[16] Sharma, A. and Kaur, T.: On cyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-codes, *Int. J. Inform. Coding Theory,* accepted.

[17] Szymiczek, K.: *Bilinear Algebra: An Introduction to the Algebraic Theory of Quadratic Forms*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam (1997).

[18] Taylor, D. E.: *The Geometry of the Classical Groups*, Germany, Heldermann Verlag (1992).